

PUBLIC NOTICE

July 29, 2015

**PROPOSED APPROVAL FOR PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT FOR MODIFICATIONS AT
THE R. J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY TOBACCOVILLE FACILITY
PURSUANT TO SEC. 3D-0530 OF
THE FORSYTH COUNTY AIR QUALITY TECHNICAL CODE, ENTITLED
“PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION”**

R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company has applied to the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection for approval to construct and operate tobacco manufacturing equipment at its Tobaccolville Facility in Tobaccolville, North Carolina. The application was submitted pursuant to Sec. 3D-0530 of the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code, entitled *Prevention of Significant Deterioration*.

Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection has reviewed the application and has made a preliminary determination that the facility can be constructed in compliance with all applicable federal and county air quality requirements. Notice is hereby given to the public for an opportunity to review and comment on the preliminary determination drafted by this Office. Emissions of volatile organic compounds will be controlled using Best Available Control Technology as determined by this Office according to U.S. EPA guidelines. Compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and the PSD increment has been demonstrated. No significant impacts on soils, vegetation, or visibility are expected from the operation of the facility.

This Office will issue a final determination with the final Air Quality Permit in accordance with the conditions of the draft permit, unless there is a public response which results in a different decision or significant change in the permit.

Additional information regarding the preliminary determination may be obtained from the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection, 201 N. Chestnut Street, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, 27101. Telephone: (336) 703-2440. Persons desiring to comment on these proceedings or who request a public hearing must write to Mr. Minor Barnette, Director, on or before August 28, 2015.

Peter B. Lloyd, Ph.D., P.E., Manager
Compliance Assistance and Permitting Division

**Pre-Construction Review
and
Preliminary Determination**

for

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
Tobacoville Facility
Tobacoville, NC

Prepared by
The Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection
July 29, 2015

Technical Contacts:

Robert O. Russ - Project Coordinator and Compliance Review/Permit
Peter B. Lloyd - Internal Review

Table of Contents

Section		Page
1.	SUMMARY	1
1.1	The Proposed Project	1
1.2	PSD Applicability	2
1.3	Proposed BACT	3
1.4	BACT Proposal Approved	4
2.	APPLICANT	5
3.	PROJECT LOCATION	6
4.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	7
4.1	ES-14 Tobacco Strip Casing and Drying	7
4.2	ES-15 Tobacco Casing, Cutting and Storage	7
4.3	F-13 Casing Preparation Area	7
5.	EMISSIONS ESTIMATES	9
5.1	Lorillard Propylene Glycol Flavorings (ES-22)	9
5.2	Lorillard Ethanol Casing Materials (ES-23)	9
6.	BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	11
6.1	Overview of PSD Regulations	11
6.2	PSD Applicability Analysis	12
6.3	BACT Technology Analysis	12
6.4	Control Technology Descriptions	12
6.5	Summary of Existing Control Technology Determinations	15
6.6	Summary of North Carolina Tobacco Facilities	16
6.7	BACT Analysis for the Casing Drums - Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15)	18
6.8	BACT Analysis for the Flotation Chambers, Dryers, and Separators - Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15)	20
6.9	BACT Analysis for Casing Drums - Ethanol-based Casing Materials (ES-14)	22
6.10	BACT Analysis for Insignificant Activities	24
7.	AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS	27
8.	ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS	28
8.1	Growth	28

Section		Page
8.2	Soils and Vegetation	28
8.3	Visibility	28
9.	COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION / REGULATORY REVIEW	29
9.1	Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP) Analysis.....	29
9.2	Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection Air Pollution Regulations.....	29
10.	CONCLUSION	31

Appendix A - R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company PSD Air Permit Application

Appendix B - Draft Permit: DRAFT 00745-TV-35

Appendix C - Correspondence

Appendix D - Supplemental Information

Appendix E - Public Notice and Related Correspondence

1. SUMMARY

On July 2, 2015, R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company (RJRT) submitted to the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection (Office), for its review and approval, an air permit application proposing to modify its cigarette manufacturing facility in Tobaccoville, Forsyth County, North Carolina. The application is for a PSD permit to construct and an associated permit to operate. A copy of the application is included in Appendix A. RJRT plans to submit a separate application for a Title V operating permit at a later date - within 12 months after beginning operation of the modified emissions sources.

RJRT, the second largest tobacco company in the United States, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American, Inc. (RAI). On June 12, 2015, RAI acquired Lorillard, Inc. (Lorillard), the third largest manufacturer of cigarettes in the United States. Cigarette manufacturing operations will be consolidated to RJRT's Tobaccoville facility. Most current Lorillard cigarette products differ from current RJRT cigarette products in that the Lorillard cigarettes use alcohol-based flavorings while the current RJRT cigarette products do not. The purpose of the proposed modification project is to facilitate the manufacturing of Lorillard cigarette brands at the RJRT Tobaccoville facility.

In late 2014, RJRT submitted a previous Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit application related to the manufacturing of Lorillard cigarette brands at the Tobaccoville facility. That application was received on November 25, 2014 and amended in a revised application that was received on December 19, 2014. The project was only subject to PSD permitting regulations for volatile organic compounds (VOC). This Office issued the resultant PSD construction permit (permit # 00745-TV-33) on May 7, 2015. The permit included Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements for VOC. It also incorporated authorization to operate the new and modified emissions sources. This application addresses additional modifications necessary for manufacturing Lorillard cigarette brands that were omitted from the previous application. Much of the background information and analysis for that project applies to this current new project. Consequently, this Pre-Construction Review and Preliminary and Determination document references the Preliminary and Final Determination documents for that previous PSD permit.

The RJRT Tobaccoville facility was originally permitted in 1983 subject to PSD regulations involving Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements for particulate matter (PM) and VOC. The facility is a Part 70 major source with uncontrolled potential emissions of PM₁₀, sulfur dioxide (SO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), VOC and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) being greater than 100 tons per year (100 TPY). The facility is a synthetic minor area source with respect to Part 63 Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). The facility's permit includes language limiting total combined HAP emissions to no more than 25 tons for any 12-month period and limiting individual HAP emissions to no more than 10 tons for any 12-month period.

1.1 The Proposed Project

The proposed modification project involves the following changes which are described in more detail in Section 4 of this report:

ES-22 Changes in Scope of Project (Lorillard flavorings using propylene glycol)

- New ES-14 final casing drums and associated new ES-14 conveyors;
- ES-15 casing drums;

- F-13 casing preparation area mix tanks; and
- F-13 casing preparation area day tanks.

ES-23 Changes in Scope of Project (Lorillard ethanol casing materials)

- New ES-14 casing drums;
- F-13 casing preparation area mix tanks; and
- F-13 casing preparation area day tanks.

1.2 PSD Applicability

The applicant's PSD Construction Permit Application submitted in December 2014 compared the changes in emissions from the facility as a result of manufacturing Lorillard products to the significant emissions thresholds to determine which pollutants would require permitting under the PSD program.

The proposed changes in project scope addressed in this current application are considered part of the original PSD project, therefore the changes in VOC emissions due to the Lorillard casing materials were evaluated with regard to the December 2014 PSD applicability determination. Detailed emission calculation tables used to determine PSD applicability were included in Appendix D of the applicant's December 2014 PSD application.

The production increase due to Lorillard tobacco throughput was evaluated in the December 2014 application. This proposed change in scope does not alter the previously permitted Lorillard tobacco throughput. Consequently, the baseline and projected emissions in the December 2014 application remain unchanged. Therefore, the proposed change in scope remains subject to PSD permitting requirements for the pollutant VOC.

Table 1.1 contains a summary of VOC emissions changes for the originally permitted project (December 2014) and the currently proposed change in scope (July 2015).

Table 1.1: Project Emissions Summary (tpy)

Emissions Unit	December 2014 VOC	July 2015 VOC	Change In VOC
LORILLARD PRODUCTION INCREASES			
Baseline Emissions	114.26	114.26	
Projected Emissions	170.86	170.86	
Increase in Emissions	56.60	56.60	
LORILLARD NEW EMISSIONS			
ES-22 Lorillard Propylene Glycol Casing	8.65	49.33	+40.68
ES-23 Lorillard Ethanol Casing and Top Dressing	62.22	66.11	+3.89
F-19 through F-23 New Storage Tanks and IMS	0.25	0.25	
Potential New Emissions	71.12	115.69	+44.57
PROJECT SUMMARY			
Change in Emissions	127.27	172.29	+44.57
PSD Threshold	40	40	
Is Change Significant?	YES	YES	

Forsyth County, North Carolina, was re-designated to an attainment area for the 8-hour ozone standard on April 15, 2008. The RJRT Tobacconville facility is subject to processing under Rule 3D .0530 "Prevention of Significant Deterioration" and all other applicable rules of the Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code as well as any applicable federal regulations.

As part of the PSD regulations, in order to obtain an air permit, the applicant must:

- Conduct an ambient air quality analysis to demonstrate that emissions from the proposed project will not violate the allowable PSD ambient air increments nor the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS);
- Analyze impacts to soils, vegetation, and visibility;
- Determine that there will be no adverse impact on any PSD Class I area;
- Facilitate adequate public participation including a 30-day public comment period; and
- Apply the best available control technology (BACT) on a case-by-case basis to each emission source that will emit any amount of a significant pollutant, in this case VOC.

1.3 Proposed BACT

The applicant's BACT selection approach for VOC involved analysis of four groups of VOC sources at the Tobacconville facility. This analysis is described in more detail in Sections 6.7 through 6.10 of this report.

1. Casing Drums: Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15) - The applicant has proposed BACT for these emissions sources while manufacturing Lorillard products to be the uncontrolled emission rate of 13.35 lb VOC/hr and 3.7 tons VOC/yr due to the lack of any control technologies that were both technically and economically viable.

2. Flotation Chambers, Dryers, and Separators: Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15) - The applicant has proposed BACT for these emissions sources while manufacturing Lorillard products to be the uncontrolled emission rate of 219.2 lb VOC/hr and 97.5 tons VOC/yr due to the lack of any control technologies that were both technically and economically viable.

3. Casing Drums: Ethanol-based Casing Materials (ES-14) - The applicant has proposed BACT for these emissions sources while manufacturing Lorillard products to be the uncontrolled emission rate of 1.2 lb VOC/ton wet tobacco due to the lack of any control technologies that were both technically and economically viable.

4. Insignificant Activities - The applicant identified several VOC sources with very low exhaust air flow rates and minimal VOC emission rates. These sources and their corresponding VOC emissions at maximum production capacity are as follows:

- Casing preparation area mix tanks (F-13) = 0.0054 tpy (propylene glycol),

- Casing preparation area day tanks (F-13) = 0.0054 tpy (propylene glycol),
- Casing preparation area mix tanks (F-13) = 0.0049 tpy (ethanol),
- Casing preparation area day tanks (F-13) = 0.0054 tpy (ethanol).

Due to the lack of any control technologies that were both technically and economically viable, the applicant proposed "no control" for BACT for these insignificant sources that have negligible emissions.

1.4 BACT Proposal Approved

Based on the information included in the application and on staff research of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse database (RBLC), as well as other sources of information including other state regulatory agencies, the Director of the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection has determined BACT for VOC to be as proposed by the applicant.

Subsequent to the review of the PSD construction application and independent research, this Office has made a preliminary determination that the proposed project will comply with all applicable regulations in the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code and Control Ordinance including the PSD requirements. Therefore, this Office intends to issue an air permit for the construction of the proposed modification at the RJRT Tobaccoville facility as described herein with the specific permit conditions and emissions limits as included in the draft permit. The draft air permit can be found in Appendix B of this preliminary determination document. The draft permit also includes locally-enforceable-only authorization to operate the proposed modification. This Office plans to issue a construction permit for the modification as proposed pending comments from the public and EPA following a 30-day comment period. At a later date, RJRT plans to submit a related Title V permit application for a Title V operating permit.

2. APPLICANT

The applicant's name and mailing address are as follows:

Johnny K. Cagigas, Vice President of Manufacturing
R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
P.O. Box 2959
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

3. PROJECT LOCATION

The proposed modification project will be constructed at the RJRT cigarette manufacturing facility in Tobacoville, North Carolina. The facility's main entrance is located at the intersection of Doral Drive, South Main Street, and Big Oaks Drive at latitude N 36.255878, and longitude W 80.367934. The facility includes a 2 million square foot technologically advanced cigarette manufacturing plant (Building 851) and a utility plant (Building 854) with three boilers. The facility is situated on a 610 acre landscaped and wooded site. A topographic map of the site and surrounding area can be found in Appendix A of the permit application.

4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

According to the construction permit application, proposed construction of the project is scheduled to begin September 1, 2015. RJRT hopes that the completion of construction and subsequent start of operation are will occur as soon as possible after the start of construction, but the exact dates are currently unknown. Once the construction permit is issued, the applicant can begin construction immediately. As stated in the permit application received July 2, 2015, the permit modification will consist of the air emission sources described below.

4.1 ES-14 Tobacco Strip Casing and Drying

Tobacco strip casing and drying (ES-14) will be modified to allow the casing drums to apply tobacco flavorings formulated using ethanol to facilitate production of Lorillard cigarette brands, in addition to the flavorings currently being used at the facility. The modifications may also include upgrading the casing pump, piping, and/or nozzles to meet the required application rate. The ethanol-based Lorillard flavorings will be prepared in the New Ingredient Mixing and Storage Area (F-23) and transferred to the Casing preparation Area (F-13).

The exhaust streams from each casing drum are collected and passed through a roto-clone wet scrubber to reduce particulate and VOC emissions. The low levels of ethanol in the flavorings applied at the casing drums in ES-14 are not expected to create a fire hazard.

For the purposes of obtaining the construction permit, the Lorillard ethanol-based tobacco flavorings are being initially permitted as emission unit ES-23. The maximum production rate for ES-14 will remain unchanged after completion of this project.

4.2 ES-15 Tobacco Casing, Cutting and Storage

Tobacco casing, cutting and storage (ES-15) will be modified to allow the four casing drums to apply Lorillard tobacco flavorings formulated using propylene glycol. Some of the propylene glycol may carry-over with the tobacco into the downstream flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. Glycerin is added in these casing drums when Lorillard tobacco is cut for the DIET process.

For the purposes of obtaining the construction permit, the application of propylene glycol-based flavorings are being initially permitted as emission unit ES-22. The maximum production rate for ES-15 will remain unchanged after completion of this project.

4.3 F-13 Casing Preparation Area

The casing preparation area (F-13) will be modified to prepare Lorillard casing materials formulated using propylene glycol. The casing preparation are will also receive ethanol-based casing materials from Ingredient Mixing and Storage (F-23). The casing drums (ES-14 and ES-15) will require an additional input of casing materials from the casing preparation area.

The emissions from preparing Lorillard flavorings using propylene glycol are included in emission unit ES-22. The expected emissions from Lorillard ethanol-based casing materials are included in emission unit ES-23. The maximum production rate for F-13 will remain unchanged after completion of this project.

5. EMISSIONS ESTIMATES

5.1 Lorillard Propylene Glycol Flavorings (ES-22)

The VOC emissions from the casing drums, flotation chambers, dryers and separators in ES-22 are influenced by the tobacco volatiles and the Lorillard flavorings. The Lorillard flavorings include formulations using propylene glycol. The VOC emissions due to tobacco volatiles are estimated using the RJRT VOC emission factors for the ES-15 casing drums, flotation chambers, dryers and separators.

The propylene glycol emissions from the Lorillard flavorings are estimated using RJRT stack test information. Historically, RJRT applied propylene glycol flavorings in the ES-15 casing drums, a practice since discontinued. The propylene glycol emissions from stack EP-23 serving the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators was tested by RJRT in 1990. The propylene glycol emissions from the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators are estimated using the 1990 stack test. The propylene glycol emissions from the casing drums are estimated using the 1990 stack test and applying the ratio of the RJRT VOC emission factors for EP-23 and the casing drums (stack EP-20).

The VOC emissions from preparing the Lorillard flavorings in F-13 are estimated using the EPA Tanks Program. The VOC emissions estimates were developed based on the projected throughput of propylene glycol, then doubled to account for any additional volatile flavoring components.

The maximum VOC emissions from the modified ES-15 casing drums, modified F-13 mix tanks, and modified F-13 day tanks are estimated based on the projected Lorillard production. The emissions calculation are included in Attachment C of the application.

5.2 Lorillard Ethanol Casing Materials (ES-23)

The VOC emissions from the Lorillard ethanol-based casing materials applied in the ES-14 casing drums are calculated as a mass balance, assuming all the ethanol is released from the tobacco after application in the heated casing drums.

Tobacco products are regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and any changes to product formulations must receive approval from the FDA. This effectively limits the application of Lorillard flavorings and casing materials formulated using ethanol in ES-14 casing drums to the Lorillard products only.

The Lorillard casing materials using ethanol are prepared in New Ingredient Mixing and Storage (F-23). The additional ethanol throughput required for Lorillard casing materials is within the permitted throughput of ethanol in the December 2014 PSD Construction Permit Application for F-23. However, the casing materials will be applied through the Casing Preparation Area (F-13), where additional small amounts of fugitive ethanol may be released. The ethanol emissions from the mix tanks and day tanks in F-13 are estimated using the EPA Tanks Program.

The maximum ethanol emissions from the modified ES-14 casing drums, modified F-13 mix tanks, and modified F-13 day tanks are estimated based on the projected Lorillard production. The emission calculations are included in Attachment C of the application.

6. BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS

6.1 Overview of PSD Regulations

Forsyth County is designated attainment for all pollutants; therefore, New Source Review (NSR) for nonattainment areas does not apply to this proposed modification. The basic goal of the PSD regulations, contained in the Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 51.166, is to ensure that the air quality in clean (i.e. attainment) areas does not significantly deteriorate while maintaining a margin for future industrial growth. The PSD regulations focus on industrial facilities, both new and modified, that create large increases in the emission of certain pollutants. The EPA promulgated final regulations governing PSD in the Federal Register published August 7, 1980. These regulations are contained in the Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code Rule 3D .0530.

Under PSD requirements, all major modifications to major stationary sources are required by the Clean Air Act to obtain an air pollution permit prior to construction. This process of preconstruction review and approval by the permitting authority of the application for an air permit is called "new source review" (NSR). A "major stationary source" is defined as any one of 28 named industrial source categories, listed in 40 CFR 51.166(b), which has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any regulated pollutant, or any other stationary source which has the potential to emit 250 tons per year or more of any PSD regulated pollutant.

The RJRT Tobaccoville facility is a "major stationary source" because it is a stationary source which has the potential to emit 250 tons per year or more of a PSD regulated pollutant. The RJRT Tobaccoville facility has been a major PSD facility since it was originally permitted in 1983. The current permit, 00745-TV-34 includes BACT requirements for PM and VOC.

Because the facility is considered a major stationary source, each pollutant with a "potential to emit" greater than the pollutant's significance level is subject to PSD review and must meet certain review requirements. "Potential to emit" is the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit a pollutant under its physical and operational design, including any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the source to emit a pollutant, provided the limitation or the effect on emissions is practically enforceable. PSD emissions calculations are based on worst-case annual operating hours of 8,760 hours per year. A significant "net emissions increase" is defined as an emission increase greater than the "significance levels" as listed in 40 CFR 51.166 (23)(I), as amended by an EPA letter of March 11, 1991 "NSR Program Transitional Guidance."

A major portion of the PSD review requires a separate BACT analysis be performed for each regulated pollutant that exceeds the PSD significance levels. BACT is defined in 40 CFR 51.166(b)(12) as follows:

"an emissions limitation (including a visible emissions standard) based on the maximum degree of reduction for each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act which would be emitted from any proposed major stationary source or major modification which the reviewing authority, on a case-by-case basis, taking into account energy, environmental, and economic impacts and other costs, determines is achievable for such source or modification through application of production processes or available methods, systems, and techniques, including fuel cleaning or treatment or innovative fuel combination techniques for control of such pollutant. In no event shall application of best available control technology result in emissions of any pollutant which would exceed the emissions allowed by any applicable standard under 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61. If the

reviewing authority determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular emissions unit would make the imposition of an emissions standard infeasible, a design, equipment, work practice, operational standard or combination thereof, may be prescribed instead to satisfy the requirement for the application of best available control technology. Such standard shall, to the degree possible, set forth the emissions reduction achievable by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice or operation, and shall provide for compliance by means which achieve equivalent results.”

6.2 PSD Applicability Analysis

As described earlier, this current modification project involves only the pollutant VOC. PSD applicability for VOC is assumed based upon the relationship between this project and the PSD project permitted initially permitted in permit 00745-TV-33. The Preliminary and Final Determination documents for that previous project contain additional information.

6.3 BACT Technology Analysis

Under the provisions of the PSD program, the applicant is required to find the BACT that will provide the maximum degree of emissions reduction considering costs, environmental, and energy impacts. This Office would then specify an emissions limitation for the source that reflects the maximum degree of reduction achievable for each subject regulated pollutant. For this project, a BACT analysis is required for the pollutant VOC. This section addresses BACT for each emissions unit triggering BACT requirements.

The application of BACT applies to each new or modified emission unit. The application of BACT is not required for non-modified emission units, or modified units whose emissions of significant PSD pollutants do not have a net increase as a result of the project. The following emission units are subject to BACT for VOC emissions due to the proposed project:

- ES-15: Casing drums (propylene glycol),
- ES-15: Flotation chambers, dryers and separators (propylene glycol),
- F-13: Casing preparation area mix tanks (propylene glycol),
- F-13: Casing preparation area day tanks (propylene glycol),
- ES-14: Casing drums (ethanol),
- F-13: Casing preparation area mix tanks (ethanol),
- F-13: Casing preparation area day tanks (ethanol).

6.4 Control Technology Descriptions

Emission control technologies potentially applicable for the removal or destruction of VOCs from an air stream were initially evaluated by the applicant based upon technical feasibility. Technologies determined to be technically infeasible were excluded from further evaluation. Control technologies evaluated, as discussed below, included oxidation, adsorption, biofiltration, scrubbers, and condensation.

6.4.1 Oxidation

Thermal oxidation is the process of oxidizing combustible materials by raising the temperature of the material above its auto-ignition point in the presence of oxygen, and maintaining it at high temperature for sufficient time to complete the combustion process. Recuperative thermal oxidizers may incorporate shell and tube heat exchange systems, while regenerative thermal oxidizers utilize a bed to recover heat from the exhaust gases. Afterburners, or direct flame units, do not utilize heat exchange as part of the equipment design. Typical VOC control efficiencies range from 90 to 99.9 percent.

Catalytic oxidation is a control technique which uses a catalyst bed to increase the oxidation reaction rate thus enabling conversion at lower reaction temperatures than in thermal incinerator units. Catalysts typically used for VOC incineration include platinum, palladium and mixed metal oxides. Catalytic oxidation is best suited to systems with lower exhaust volumes, when there is little variation in the type and concentration of VOC, and where catalyst poisons or other fouling contaminants are absent. In comparison to thermal oxidation, operating costs are lower due to lower auxiliary fuel usage while the capital costs are generally higher. Typical VOC control efficiencies range from 95 to 99 percent.

6.4.2 Wet Scrubbers

Wet scrubbers involve the use of packed columns or trays to facilitate contact between either a water or chemical solution to facilitate the preferential absorption of pollutants from the air stream to scrubbing solution for collection, treatment, and disposal. Scrubbers are commonly employed for use in controlling low dust loadings or inorganic vapors. Absorption (scrubbing) may be used for gaseous streams containing high VOC concentrations, especially for water soluble compounds such as methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol.

When using absorption as the primary control technique for organic vapors, the EPA recognizes that spent scrubbing solutions must be easily regenerated or disposed of in an environmentally acceptable manner. Furthermore, organic vapor control applications may require long contact times, high liquid-gas ratios, and tall absorption towers. Although removal efficiencies vary by pollutant, properly designed wet scrubbers for water soluble compounds are often capable of achieving control efficiencies greater than 90 percent for volatile organic compounds.

The effectiveness of scrubbing (absorption) varies depending on the characteristics of the gas stream and pollutant being controlled. Ethanol is very soluble in water, making scrubbing a possible control technology. However, ethanol has a high vapor pressure (40 mm Hg) and corresponding low boiling point (173°F) and low flash point (55°F). As a result, scrubbing is generally not effective for pollutants like ethanol that have significant evaporative potential at ambient temperatures.

Tobacco volatiles and propylene glycol, also emitted from the casing process, are also very soluble in water. However, unlike ethanol, tobacco volatiles and casing materials have low vapor pressures (<0.1 mm Hg), high boiling points (>350°F), and high flash points (>200°F) that make scrubbing a potentially viable and effective control technology.

6.4.3 Adsorption

Adsorption involves the physical binding of a pollutant on the surface of a granule, bead, or crystal of adsorbent material, generally activated carbon. The applicability of adsorption is largely dependent upon the affinity of a particular pollutant for adsorption and upon the volatility of the pollutant (e.g., volatile compounds present in warm exhausts tend to re-volatilize resulting in potential flammability concerns). Removal efficiencies vary by pollutant, however, most adsorption systems have VOC control efficiencies between 87.5 and 97 percent.

Regenerable adsorption systems consist of multiple adsorbent vessels with a portion of the units receiving gas vapors while organics in the remaining units are removed using steam or pressure. Regenerable systems require additional control technologies (oxidation, condensation, etc.) to manage the concentrated air stream.

Non-regenerable systems involve the use of adsorption beds which collect VOCs until breakthrough occurs. The non-regenerable adsorption bed (typically a carbon drum) is then removed from service and replaced while the spent material is shipped offsite for recovery or disposal. Non-regenerable systems are utilized for processes with low air flow or VOCs that are difficult to desorb.

6.4.4 Biofiltration

Biofiltration is the use of microbes to consume pollutants from a contaminated air stream. As the emissions flow through the bed media, pollutants are absorbed by moisture on the bed media and come into contact with microbes. Microbes reduce pollutant concentrations by consuming and metabolizing pollutants. During the digestion process, enzymes in the microbes convert compounds into energy, CO₂ and water. Material that is indigestible is left over and becomes residue.

Limitations to the use of biofiltration (bioreactors) are based upon sustaining the microbe environment including temperature control, moisture levels, acidity, and maintaining adequate nutrient levels. Biofilter control efficiency is largely dependent upon the type of compounds being digested by bacteria in the biofilter and upon residence time. Properly designed biofiltration systems generally achieve control efficiencies ranging from 70 percent to 90 percent, depending upon compounds being controlled and other site-specific conditions.

6.4.5 Condensation

Condensation is a process in which an emission stream having organic vapors is cooled using a coolant to facilitate the phase change of the vapors to a liquid. Condensation systems are typically used on vapor streams having high VOC concentrations and typically achieve control efficiencies between 50 and 90 percent. For systems in which non-condensable gases (e.g., air) are not present, condenser control efficiency may be nearly 100 percent. Condensers are generally not applicable to moist streams containing highly volatile compounds requiring heat exchangers operating at or below the freezing temperature of water.

6.4.6 Concentration

Concentration is a process in which control technologies are utilized in series to first concentrate the organic vapors from a dilute stream followed by a second control technology for treatment. Traditional methods of concentrating dilute gas streams involve the use of carbon adsorption or condensation to collect the organic vapors and thereby increase the concentration in the collected stream which is then treated - typically using oxidation.

6.5 Summary of Existing Control Technology Determinations

The applicant searched the EPA Reasonable Available Control Technology (RACT)/Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) Clearinghouse and contacted several state agencies for control technology determinations from tobacco facilities.

6.5.1 R. J. Reynolds – Tobaccoville, North Carolina

The RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) contains a 1983 BACT determination for VOC emissions from cigarette manufacturing at the RJRT facility located in Tobaccoville, North Carolina (RBLC ID NC-0027). BACT was established as use of non-ethanol based flavorings.

6.5.2 Philip Morris – Chesterfield, Virginia

The RBLC contains two 1987 BACT determinations for VOC emissions from tobacco material processing, an adsorbent regenerator, and a conveyor at the Philip Morris Park 500 facility located in Chesterfield, Virginia (RBLC IDs VA-0080 and VA-0083). BACT for tobacco material processing was established as a scrubber with 90 percent control efficiency. BACT for the adsorbent regenerator process, and conveyor were established as afterburners with 99 percent control efficiency.

The applicant contacted the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (Virginia DEQ). Virginia DEQ indicated that the Philip Morris Park 500 facility never achieved successful commercial operation, and in 1989 the permit was amended, and the scrubber and afterburners were removed. The Virginia DEQ refused to provide any additional information about the Park 500 facility and stated all information after 1987, including the current facility permit, is considered confidential. Therefore, the applicant excluded the Philip Morris Park 500 BACT determination from further consideration.

6.5.3 Brown and Williamson – Macon, Georgia

The applicant discovered that a BACT determination was established by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division for Brown and Williamson (B&W) in Macon, Georgia. The BACT limitation was part of a 1994 Consent Order related to a 1982 plant expansion. The Consent Order established BACT as “(a) incineration of VOC emissions ... with at least 90% destruction efficiency; or (b) alternative technology (including but not limited to source reduction) at any plant location(s), that produces the same or greater reduction in annual tonnage of VOC emissions on an overall, plant-wide basis as would be achieved under provision 2(a) above.”

The sources requiring 90% control included tobacco dryers, flavoring cylinders, and fugitive emissions from finished tobacco storage.

B&W selected source reduction as an alternative technology that reduced VOC emissions by the amount required in the Consent Order. Oxidation (incineration) was never implemented by B&W for the tobacco dryers, the flavoring applicators, or the tobacco storage fugitive emissions, and the required destruction efficiency was never demonstrated. Therefore, the applicant excluded the B&W BACT determination from further consideration.

6.5.4 Philip Morris – Richmond, Virginia

The applicant discovered that a RACT determination was made by the Virginia DEQ for the Philip Morris Manufacturing Center in Richmond, Virginia. The RACT determination was part of a 1994 Consent Agreement related to VOC emissions within the Richmond ozone non-attainment area (1-hour standard). The RACT determination included tobacco drying, flavoring cylinders, and fugitive emissions from tobacco flavoring.

RACT for the after-cut dryers and flavoring cylinders at the tobacco manufacturing center was established as the use of two oxidizers with a destruction efficiency of at least 95 percent. RACT for fugitive emissions from tobacco flavoring was no control.

6.6 Summary of North Carolina Tobacco Facilities

In addition to the BACT and RACT control technology determinations described above, Philip Morris, Lorillard, and Liggett operate tobacco manufacturing facilities in North Carolina.

6.6.1 Philip Morris – Concord, North Carolina

Philip Morris operates a tobacco manufacturing facility near Concord, North Carolina. As part of a facility expansion in the 1990's, the facility installed two oxidizers to control emission from the tobacco dryers and flavoring cylinders and avoid PSD permitting requirements.

6.6.2 Lorillard – Greensboro, North Carolina

The Lorillard Tobacco Company operates a tobacco manufacturing facility in Greensboro, North Carolina. The facility installed two packed tower scrubbers to control VOC emissions from the top dressing cylinders and avoid PSD permitting requirements. After encountering significant difficulties operating the scrubber system, source testing was conducted without the scrubbers operating to demonstrate uncontrolled emissions were below the PSD threshold. The North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources designated the scrubbers as voluntary equipment and allowed the facility to take the scrubbers out of service.

6.6.3 Liggett – Mebane, North Carolina

The Liggett Group operates a tobacco manufacturing facility in Mebane, North Carolina. The facility does not operate any VOC controls on any tobacco dryers or other tobacco conditioning equipment, top flavoring applicators, or fugitive emissions.

6.6.4 R. J. Reynolds – Tobaccolville, North Carolina

In late 2014, RJRT submitted a previous Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit application related to the manufacturing of Lorillard cigarette brands at the Tobaccolville facility. That application was received on November 25, 2014 and amended in a revised application that was received on December 19, 2014. The project was only subject to PSD permitting regulations for volatile organic compounds (VOC). This Office issued the resultant PSD construction permit (permit # 00745-TV-33) on May 7, 2015. That permit included Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements for VOC. Except as noted below, those BACT requirements are also included in the proposed new permit (permit # 00745-TV-35) in Part II, condition 3(D). The BACT requirements are summarized below.

- **New Final Casing Drums (Part of ES-22):**
The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled VOC emission rate from the final casings drums to no more than 0.954 lb/hr. The proposed modification project described in the July 2015 application involves a change to the project as initially proposed in the December 2014 application. The proposed modification project described in the July 2015 application does not include these new final casing drums as part of the overall project so the 0.954 lb/hr BACT limit is not included in the 00745-TV-35 permit.
- **New Conveyor Systems (Part of ES-22):**
The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled hourly VOC emission rate from the following conveyor systems to no more than:
 - 0.60 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-1,
 - 0.20 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-10,
 - 0.45 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-14, and
 - 0.05 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-21.The proposed modification project described in the July 2015 application involves a change to the project as initially proposed in the December 2014 application. The proposed modification project described in the July 2015 application does not include the new conveyor system serving ES-14 as part of the overall project so the 0.45 lb/hr BACT limit is not included in the 00745-TV-35 permit.
- **Manufacture of former Lorillard products using ethanol-based top dressing materials in the top dressing drums and downstream conveyors (ES-23):**
The permittee shall limit the VOC emission rate from this emission source to no more than 0.54 lb VOC per ton of wet tobacco and at least 98% destruction efficiency of the thermal incinerator. Compliance with these limits shall be based upon the 3-hour block average of the incinerator combustion chamber temperature.
- **Cigarette Production Floor Fugitives (ES-18, ES-19, F-16, ES-23):**
The permittee shall limit the combined uncontrolled VOC emission rate from these emission sources to no more than 271.81 tons per monthly rolling 12-month total.

6.7 BACT Analysis for the Casing Drums - Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15)

Volatile organic flavorings dissolved in propylene glycol will be applied to tobacco that will be used to manufacture former Lorillard products in the (ES-15) casing drums.

6.7.1 Oxidation

Due to the presence of combustible organics present in the gas streams, oxidizers are considered technically feasible for the ES-15 casing drums. There are a variety of oxidizer designs that could theoretically be applied to this process. Possible oxidation technologies considered in this BACT analysis are:

- Standard thermal oxidation (no heat recovery),
- Recuperative thermal oxidation (moderate heat recovery of 30 to 50 percent), and
- Regenerative thermal oxidation (as much as 95% heat recovery).

6.7.2 Scrubbers

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used scrubbers to control VOC emissions from application of casing materials. The Lorillard facility in North Carolina attempted to use scrubbers to control volatile emissions from the top dressing cylinders, but the technology never functioned properly and was eventually abandoned.

The volatiles in the casing materials are expected to be highly soluble in water, and have lower vapor pressures and higher boiling points than ethanol used in top dressing materials. In addition, recent scrubber designs using trays and packing made from newer composite materials and thermoplastic resins have improved performance and reliability. Due to the low organic concentrations present in the gas stream, a packed bed is considered the most appropriate of the wet scrubbing technologies currently available and was considered technically feasible for this application.

It should be noted that particulate matter from the casing drums will be controlled by a wet roto-clone scrubber, which will remove particulate matter using primarily centrifugal force. The exhaust stream from the roto-clone is considered to be the baseline emission level. The roto-clone will remove some VOC, however, due to the short residence time and limited air/water mixing, for conservatism the applicant assumed that no VOC is removed by the roto-clone in the BACT analysis.

6.7.3 Adsorption

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used adsorption to control VOC emissions from the application of casing materials. Regenerative adsorption systems rely on desorbing the VOC and using oxidation or condensation to destroy/remove VOCs from the concentrated gas stream. However, tobacco volatiles in the emission stream will have a high affinity for binding to the adsorbent material which may result in clogging of the adsorption system. Non-regenerative adsorption systems are not practical for the concentrations requiring treatment, and may require

replacement of the activated carbon several times per week. Therefore, adsorption is not considered technically feasible.

6.7.4 Biofiltration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used biofilters to control VOC emissions from application of casing materials. Biofilters require a stable nutrient load from the incoming exhaust stream to maintain the biological activity of the microbes and to digest organic compounds efficiently. The casing drums will be operated intermittently, making maintenance of a stable incoming exhaust stream infeasible. Therefore, biofiltration is not considered a technically feasible option.

6.7.5 Condensation

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used condensers to control VOC emissions from casing materials. Due to the relatively low VOC concentrations present in the exhaust stream and high flow rate of non-condensable gases that will keep trace volatiles from condensing, condenser controls are not applicable. Even if one were to contemplate use of a condenser operating at very low temperatures, the moisture present in the stream would cause freezing on heat exchange surfaces, rendering the condenser ineffective. Therefore, condensation is not considered technically feasible.

6.7.6 Concentration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used concentration to control VOC emissions from application of casing materials. Concentration is a process in which control technologies are utilized in series to first concentrate the organic vapors from a dilute stream followed by a second control technology for treatment. Traditional methods of concentrating dilute gas streams involve the use of carbon adsorption or condensation to collect the organic vapors and thereby increase the concentration in the collected stream. Due to limitations associated with adsorption and condensation described above, concentration is not considered technically feasible.

6.7.7 Ranking of Technologies and Economic Impacts Analysis

As discussed earlier, both wet scrubbing technology and thermal incineration are feasible. For this stream, control efficiencies of greater than 90 percent are feasible. The applicant used a control efficiency of 98 percent in this application for standard and recuperative oxidation and 95 percent for regenerative oxidation (to account for loss of control during the cycling between beds). An efficiency of 95 percent was also attributed to wet scrubbing.

The cost evaluation for thermal oxidation control of the ES-15 casing drums is presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-1. Cost effectiveness of the technologies range from approximately \$80,500 per ton to approximately \$201,800 per ton of VOC removal, above levels considered cost effective. The cost evaluation for packed bed scrubbing control of the ES-15 casing drums is also presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-1. Cost effectiveness

of the technology is approximately \$186,500 per ton of VOC removal, above levels considered cost effective.

6.7.8 Applicant's Selection for BACT

The applicant's proposed BACT for the ES-15 casing drums, propylene glycol-based casing materials, while manufacturing Lorillard products is the uncontrolled emission rate of 13.35 lb VOC/hr and 3.7 tons VOC/yr because there are no applicable or otherwise cost effective controls.

6.7.9 Agency's Decision for BACT

This Office concurs with the applicant's proposed BACT for the ES-15 casing drums.

6.8 BACT Analysis for the Flotation Chambers, Dryers, and Separators - Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (ES-15)

Volatile organic flavorings dissolved in propylene glycol will be applied to tobacco that will be used to manufacture former Lorillard products in the (ES-15) casing drums. Some of the propylene glycol may carry-over with the tobacco into the downstream flotation chambers, dryers, and separators and may be emitted from the stack (EP-23-851-1) serving all this equipment.

6.8.1 Oxidation

Due to the presence of combustible organics present in the gas streams, oxidizers are considered technically feasible for the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. There are a variety of oxidizer designs that could theoretically be applied to this process. Possible oxidation technologies considered in this BACT analysis are:

- Standard thermal oxidation (no heat recovery),
- Recuperative thermal oxidation (moderate heat recovery of 30 to 50 percent), and
- Regenerative thermal oxidation (as much as 95% heat recovery).

The exhaust flow rates for these sources is 166,700 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm), which exceeds the general maximum flow rate of approximately 50,000 scfm for these technologies according to Air Pollution Control Technology Fact Sheets, EPA-452/F-03-022 and 452/F-03-020 (Included in Appendix D).

6.8.2 Scrubbers

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used scrubbers to control VOC emissions from flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. The Lorillard facility in North Carolina attempted to use scrubbers to control volatile emissions from top dressing cylinders, but the technology never functioned properly and was eventually abandoned.

The volatiles in the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators are expected to be highly soluble in water, and have lower vapor pressures and higher boiling points than ethanol used in top dressing materials. In addition, recent scrubber designs using trays and packing made from newer composite materials and thermoplastic resins have improved performance and reliability. Due to the low organic concentrations present in the gas stream, a packed bed is considered the only potentially feasible wet scrubbing technology for this application. However, the high exhaust flow rate of 116,700 scfm exceeds the upper end flow rate (75,000 scfm) for typical application of packed bed scrubbers. Accordingly, packed bed scrubbing is not considered to be technically feasible.

It should be noted that particulate matter from the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators will be controlled by a wet roto-clone scrubber, which will remove particulate matter using primarily centrifugal force. The exhaust stream from the roto-clone is considered to be the baseline emission level. The roto-clone will remove some VOC, however, due to the short residence time and limited air/water mixing, for conservatism the applicant assumed that no VOC is removed by the roto-clone in the BACT analysis.

6.8.3 Adsorption

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used adsorption to control VOC emissions from flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. Regenerative adsorption systems rely on desorbing the VOC and using oxidation or condensation to destroy/remove VOCs from the concentrated gas stream. However, tobacco volatiles in the emission stream will have a high affinity for binding to the adsorbent material which may result in clogging of the adsorption system. Non-regenerative adsorption systems are not practical for the concentrations requiring treatment, and may require replacement of the activated carbon several times per week. Therefore, adsorption is not considered technically feasible.

6.8.4 Biofiltration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used biofilters to control VOC emissions from flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. Use of a biofilter is considered technically feasible due to a generally stable nutrient load from the incoming exhaust stream that can be supplemented during downtime using the biofilter's nutrient delivery systems to maintain the biological activity of the microbes and digest organic compounds efficiently. In addition, the exhaust stack temperature of approximately 106 °F is within the maximum operating range of a biofilter of 110 °F to 120 °F.

6.8.5 Condensation

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used condensers to control VOC emissions from flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. Due to the relatively low VOC concentrations present in the exhaust stream and high flow rate of non-condensable gases that will keep trace volatiles from condensing, condenser controls are not applicable. Even if one were to contemplate use of a condenser operating at very low temperatures, the moisture present in the stream would cause freezing on heat exchange surfaces, rendering the condenser ineffective. Therefore, condensation is not considered technically feasible.

6.8.6 Concentration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used concentration to control VOC emissions from flotation chambers, dryers, and separators. Concentration is a process in which control technologies are utilized in series to first concentrate the organic vapors from a dilute stream followed by a second control technology for treatment. Traditional methods of concentrating dilute gas streams involve the use of carbon adsorption or condensation to collect the organic vapors and thereby increase the concentration in the collected stream. Due to limitations associated with adsorption and condensation described above, concentration is not considered technically feasible.

6.8.7 Ranking of Technologies and Economic Impacts Analysis

As discussed earlier, regenerative thermal oxidation and biofiltration are feasible. A regenerative thermal oxidizer operating at 95 percent efficiency (to account for loss of control during the cycling between beds) was used in the economic impacts evaluation. An efficiency of 85 percent was attributed to biofiltration.

The cost evaluation for regenerative thermal oxidation control of the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators is presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-2. Cost effectiveness is estimated to be approximately \$37,500 per ton of VOC removal, above levels considered cost effective. The cost evaluation for biofiltration is presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-3. Cost effectiveness of the technology is approximately \$11,200 per ton of VOC removal, above level considered cost effective.

6.8.8 Applicant's Selection for BACT

The applicant's proposed BACT for flotation chambers, dryers, and separators, propylene glycol-based casing materials, while manufacturing Lorillard products is the uncontrolled emission rate of 219.2 lb VOC/hr and 97.5 tons VOC/yr because there are no applicable or otherwise cost effective controls.

6.8.9 Agency's Decision for BACT

This Office concurs with the applicant's proposed BACT for flotation chambers, dryers, and separators.

6.9 BACT Analysis for Casing Drums - Ethanol-based Casing Materials (ES-14)

Volatile organic flavorings dissolved in ethanol will be applied to tobacco and be used to manufacture former Lorillard products in ES-14 casing drums.

6.9.1 Oxidation

Due to the presence of combustible organics present in the gas streams, oxidizers are considered technically feasible for the ES-14 casing drums. There are a variety of oxidizer

designs that could theoretically be applied to this process. Possible oxidation technologies considered in this BACT analysis are:

- Standard thermal oxidation (no heat recovery),
- Recuperative thermal oxidation (moderate heat recovery of 30 to 50 percent), and
- Regenerative thermal oxidation (as much as 95% heat recovery).

6.9.2 Scrubbers

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used scrubbers to control VOC emissions from the application of casing materials. The Lorillard facility in North Carolina attempted to use scrubbers to control volatile emissions from top dressing cylinders, but the technology never functioned properly and was eventually abandoned.

Tobacco volatiles and ethanol are expected to be highly soluble in water. Due to the low organic concentrations present in the gas stream, a packed bed is considered the most appropriate of the wet scrubbing technologies currently available and was considered by the applicant to be technically feasible for this application.

6.9.3 Adsorption

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used adsorption to control VOC emissions from the application of casing materials. Regenerative adsorption systems rely on desorbing the VOC and using oxidation or condensation to destroy the concentrated gas stream. However, tobacco volatiles in the emission stream will have a high affinity for binding to the adsorbent material which may result in clogging of the adsorption system. Non-regenerative adsorption systems are not practical for the concentrations requiring treatment, and may require replacement of the activated carbon several times per week. Therefore, adsorption is not considered technically feasible.

6.9.4 Biofiltration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used biofilters to control VOC emissions from the application of casing materials. Biofilters require a stable nutrient load from the incoming exhaust stream to maintain the biological activity of the microbes and digest organic compounds efficiently. The casing drums will be operated intermittently, making maintenance of a stable incoming exhaust stream infeasible. Therefore, biofiltration is not considered a technically feasible option.

6.9.5 Condensation

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used condensers to control VOC emissions from casing materials. Due to the relatively low VOC concentrations present in the exhaust stream, and high flow rate of non-condensable gases that will keep trace volatiles from condensing, condenser controls are not applicable. Even if one were to contemplate use of condenser operating at very low temperatures, the moisture present in the stream would cause freezing on

heat exchange surfaces, rendering the condenser ineffective. Therefore, condensation is not considered technically feasible.

6.9.6 Concentration

The cigarette manufacturing industry has not used concentration to control VOC emissions from the application of casing materials. Concentration is a process in which control technologies are utilized in series to first concentrate the organic vapors from a dilute stream followed by a second control technology for treatment. Traditional methods of concentrating dilute gas streams involve the use of carbon adsorption or condensation to collect the organic vapors and thereby increase the concentration in the collected stream. Due to limitations associated with adsorption and condensation described above, concentration is not considered technically feasible.

6.9.7 Ranking of Technologies and Economic Impacts Analysis

As discussed earlier, both wet scrubbing technology and thermal incineration are feasible. For this stream control efficiencies of greater than 90 percent are feasible. A high control efficiency of 98 percent was used in the application for standard and recuperative oxidation and 95 percent for regenerative oxidation (to account for loss of control during the cycling between beds). A high efficiency of 95 percent was also attributed to wet scrubbing.

The cost evaluation for thermal oxidation control of the casing drums is presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-4. Cost effectiveness of the technologies range from approximately \$46,800 per ton to approximately \$117,300 per ton of VOC removal, well above levels considered cost effective. The cost evaluation for packed bed scrubbing control of the casing drums is also presented in the application in Appendix E, Table E-4. Cost effectiveness of the technology is approximately \$108,500 per of VOC removal, well above levels considered cost effective.

6.9.8 Applicant's Selection for BACT

The BACT proposed by the applicant for the ES-14 casing drums, ethanol-based casing materials, while manufacturing Lorillard products is the uncontrolled emission rate of 1.2 lb VOC/ton wet tobacco because there are no applicable or otherwise cost effective controls.

6.9.9 Agency's Decision for BACT

This Office concurs with the applicant's proposed BACT for the ES-14 casing drums.

6.10 BACT Analysis for Insignificant Activities

Ethanol, propylene glycol, and other organic chemicals are stored and mixed prior to application onto tobacco for subsequent processing. These chemicals are stored and used in modest quantities such that emissions are negligible and well below insignificant activity levels. These sources and corresponding VOC emissions are as follows:

- Casing preparation area mix tanks (F-13) = 0.0054 tpy (propylene glycol),
- Casing preparation area day tanks (F-13) = 0.0054 tpy (propylene glycol),
- Casing preparation area mix tanks (F-13) = 0.0049 tpy (ethanol),
- Casing preparation area day tanks (F-13) = 0.0049 tpy (ethanol).

6.10.1 Applicant's Selection for BACT

The flow rates from process vessels associated with operations identified above are very small and well below the applicability range of the pollution control options discussed earlier in the BACT evaluations. For example, packed bed scrubbers have a lower applicability limit of 500 cfm, which is well above the flow rates from sources identified above, which would not be expected to exceed approximately 15 cfm at any given time (i.e., during batch filling operations).

Use of activated carbon in canisters or drums connected to certain types of low flow VOC sources such as those identified above is theoretically possible. However, ethanol is not a good candidate for carbon control having insufficient mass to generate sufficient Van der Waals forces necessary for making ethanol a good candidate for carbon control. According to activated carbon adsorption literature, the lowest molecular weight considered acceptable for making carbon control a good candidate is 50 and ethanol has a molecular weight of 46 g/mol.¹ The fact that carbon is not a suitable adsorbent for ethanol was also confirmed by a leading manufacturer of carbon technologies.²

Although trace emission levels of ethanol and propylene glycol will be emitted from the process, it is technically feasible for carbon removal at very high efficiencies of 99% for a low flow application such as the casing preparation mix tanks. Based on information provided by Calgon Corporation, they would recommend use of a metal drum filled with 180 pounds of activated carbon through which mix tank exhaust air would flow through the carbon adsorption drum. Based on information provided by Calgon, during active filling operations the carbon would have a 432 hr life span (180 lb charge / 10 lb/day usage rate * 24 hrs/day continuous operation basis).

The emission calculations for the casing preparation area are based on the projected throughput of Lorillard casings and flavorings. Based on the projected throughput, the casing preparation area has emissions for approximately 815 hours per year, and each drum would only last 432 hours. The cost of each drum is approximately \$1,000 and the resulting cost effectiveness of control is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Cost effectiveness} = (\$1,000 * 815 \text{ hrs}/432 \text{ hrs}) / (0.0206 \text{ ton/yr} * 0.99) = \$92,506/\text{ton}^3$$

This cost effectiveness value does not include other costs that when combined together are significantly higher than the purchase cost of the carbon drum, such as engineering/installation, periodic testing to ensure that the carbon is working as designed, and disposal costs. Since the

¹ Activated Carbon Adsorption for Treatment of VOC Emissions, Austin Shepherd, Carbtrol Corporation, May 2001. <http://www.carbtrol.com/voc.pdf> (Included in Appendix D)

² Email correspondence from Hamilton, Brandon, Calgon Corporation, and Sullivan, Joe, URS Corporation, December 18, 2014. (Included in Appendix C)

³ All costing assumptions provided via conversation between Hamilton, Brandon, Calgon Corporation and Sullivan, Joe, URS Corporation. December 18, 2014.

base cost of \$92,506/ton is well above levels considered prohibited, carbon control of emissions from the modified casing preparation area is considered cost prohibitive.

In summary, the addition of pollution controls is impracticable due to the technical infeasibility of carbon control for ethanol emissions and prohibitive cost effectiveness of carbon control on the modified casing preparation area. Due to the negligible emission levels associated with these sources, RJRT proposed that the BACT for these sources be “no control.”

6.10.2 Agency's Decision for BACT

This Office concurs with the applicant's proposed BACT for these sources that BACT is “no control.”

7. AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

The PSD permitting regulations require an evaluation of air quality impacts from the proposed project. The proposed project is subject to PSD permitting requirements for the pollutant VOC. The pollutant VOC has no air dispersion modeling requirements.

The applicant's December 2014 PSD Construction Permit Application evaluated the air quality impacts of the proposed project. The slight increase in VOC emissions of approximately one percent due to this change in project scope does not alter the December 2014 evaluation.

This Office agrees that no further air quality analysis is required.

8. ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS

The PSD permitting regulations require an evaluation of additional impacts on growth, soils and vegetation, and visibility from the proposed project. The proposed project is subject to PSD permitting requirements for the pollutant VOC.

8.1 Growth

The applicant's December 2014 PSD Construction Permit Application evaluated the impacts of the proposed project on growth. The slight increase in VOC emissions of approximately one percent due to the change in project scope does not alter the December 2014 evaluation.

This Office agrees that there should be no impact from growth as a result of this modification.

8.2 Soils and Vegetation

The applicant's December 2014 PSD Construction Permit Application evaluated the impacts of the proposed project on soils and vegetation. The slight increase in VOC emissions of approximately one percent due to the change in project scope does not alter the December 2014 evaluation.

This Office agrees that there should be no impact on the soil or vegetation as a result of this modification.

8.3 Visibility

The applicant's December 2014 PSD Construction Permit Application evaluated the impacts of the proposed project on visibility. The slight increase in VOC emissions of approximately one percent due to the change in project scope does not alter the December 2014 evaluation.

This Office agrees that the increase in VOC should have no impact on the visibility.

9. COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION/REGULATORY REVIEW

Major modifications to major stationary sources are required by the Clean Air Act to obtain an air pollution permit before commencing construction. This Office has promulgated air pollution control requirements under Subchapters 3D and 3Q of the Forsyth County Code entitled Air Pollution Control Requirements and Air Quality Permits respectively, which govern the construction and operation of air emission sources. The new source review requirements within these regulations have been approved by the U.S. EPA as Forsyth County's Local Implementation Plan (LIP). The applicable regulatory requirements that must be met for the construction of the proposed modification at the RJRT Tobaccoville facility include federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) regulations as implemented by this Office.

9.1 Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP) Analysis

The facility has been and will continue to be a synthetic minor area source with respect to Part 63 Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). The facility's permit includes language limiting total combined HAP emissions to no more than 25 tons for any 12-month period and limiting individual HAP emissions to no more than 10 tons for any 12-month period. Based on information from past emissions reports, the facility has consistently met these HAP emission limits with a comfortable margin of compliance. The slight increase in VOC emissions of approximately one percent due to the change in project scope does not jeopardize the facility's ability to continue to meet the permitted HAP emissions limits in the future.

9.2 Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection Air Pollution Regulations

In addition to the PSD requirements, this Office has promulgated air pollution control requirements under Subchapters 3D and 3Q of the Forsyth County Code. Under the BACT requirements of the PSD regulations, all BACT emission limits must, at a minimum, comply with any applicable standard of performance under the Forsyth County Local Implementation Plan (LIP). Each of the proposed BACT limits are well below the allowable limits and therefore in compliance with any of the other standards imposed by the LIP.

9.2.1 Forsyth County Code - Rule 3D .0515 (Particulate Matter from Industrial Sources)

The modified casing drums in ES-14 and ES-15 are subject to Rule 3D .0515 of the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code (FCAQTC). The allowable particulate matter emissions will not change as a result of this modification. Based on a review by this Office of the particulate matter emissions and associated process rates indicates that the facility's emissions sources will be in compliance with the particulate matter standard in Rule 3D .0515.

9.2.2 Forsyth County Code - Rule 3D .0521 (Visible Emissions from Industrial Sources)

The modified casing drums in ES-14 and ES-15 are subject to Rule 3D .0521. The allowable visible emissions are 20 percent opacity for sources constructed after July 1, 1971. The use of fabric filter control devices for particulate matter sources assures compliance with Rule 3D .0521.

9.2.3 Forsyth County Code - Rule 3D .0530 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration)

Compliance with PSD requirements is discussed elsewhere in this document.

9.2.4 Forsyth County Code - Rule 3D .0531 (Non-Attainment New Source Review)

Forsyth County was re-designated to an attainment area for the 8-hour ozone standard on April 15, 2008. Therefore, Rule 3D .0531 does not apply to this project.

9.2.5 Forsyth County Code - Section 3D .1100 (Toxic Air Pollutant Standards)

The proposed changes in project scope do not increase the emissions of any previously modeled toxic air pollutants (TAPs). Therefore air dispersion modeling for TAPs is not required.

9.2.6 Forsyth County Code - Rule 3Q .0504 (Option for obtaining construction and operation permit)

RJRT is submitting this revised permit application Section 3Q-0300 as provided under Rule 3Q-0504 under the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) program. The appropriate Forsyth County permit application forms are contained in Appendix E of the application.

10. CONCLUSION

In summary, the RJRT permit application for a permit to construct the proposed modification at the RJRT Tobaccoville facility has been reviewed by this Office. Based on this review, it has been determined that the emission sources in the proposed project will meet all applicable air quality regulations. A copy of the draft permit is located in Appendix B. The permit conditions related to this construction project are located in Part II of the permit.

APPENDIX A

R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
Tobaccoville facility
PSD AIR PERMIT
APPLICATION

APPENDIX B

Draft Permit: DRAFT 00745-TV-35

APPENDIX C

Correspondence

APPENDIX D

Supplemental Information

APPENDIX E

Public Notice and Related Correspondence

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE AND PROTECTION

FORSYTH COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
201 NORTH CHESTNUT STREET
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101-4120

PERMIT TO OPERATE
AIR QUALITY CONTROL
CLASS: Title V

PERMIT NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	EXPIRATION DATE	RENEWAL DUE
DRAFT 00745-TV-35	TBD	November 27, 2012	February 27, 2012

Facility Name: R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company - Tobaccoville
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2959
City, State, ZIP Code: Winston-Salem, NC 27102

Facility Location: RJR Moore Road
City: Tobaccoville, NC

In accordance with the provisions set forth in the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code and Chapter 3 of the Forsyth County Code, "Air Quality Control", the facility identified above is authorized to operate, as outlined in Part I, "Air Quality Title V Operation Permit", the emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) specified herein, in accordance with the terms, conditions, and limitations contained within this permit.

The permittee shall not construct, operate, or modify any emission source(s) or air pollution control device(s) without having first submitted a complete air quality permit application to the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection and received an Air Quality Permit, except as provided in this permit or in accordance with applicable provisions of the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code.

This permit supersedes all previous permits issued to the permittee by the Forsyth County Environmental Affairs Department or Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection.

Peter B. Lloyd, Ph.D., P.E., Manager
Compliance Assistance & Permitting Division

DATE:

**R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
Air Quality Permit # DRAFT 00745-TV-35
Zzzz XX, 2015**

Table of Contents

PART I

AIR QUALITY OPERATING PERMIT

SECTION 1

FACILITY-WIDE PERMITTED EQUIPMENT AND ASSOCIATED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE(S)		
1.1	Equipment List and Applicable Standards	1
1.2	Operating Conditions Not Covered Under the Permit Shield	6

SECTION 2

FACILITY GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS		
2.1	General Provisions	7
2.2	Permit Availability	8
2.3	Submissions	8
2.4	Severability Clause	8
2.5	Duty to Comply	8
2.6	Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense	8
2.7	Permit Shield	8
2.8	Circumvention	9
2.9	Good Air Pollution Control Practice	9
2.10	Reporting Requirements for Excess Emissions and Permit Deviations	9
2.11	Emergency Provisions	11
2.12	Permit Fees	12
2.13	Annual Emission Inventory Requirements	12
2.14	Compliance Certification	12
2.15	Retention of Records	13
2.16	NESHAP - Recordkeeping Requirement for Applicability Determinations	13
2.17	Duty to Provide Information	13
2.18	Duty to Supplement or Correct Application	13
2.19	Certification by Responsible Official	13
2.20	Inspection and Entry	13
2.21	Averaging Times	14
2.22	Compliance Testing	14
2.23	General Emissions Testing and Reporting Requirements	14
2.24	Termination, Modification, and Revocation of the Permit	15
2.25	Permit Reopenings, Modifications, Revocations and Reissuances, or Terminations	16
2.26	Permit Renewal	16
2.27	Reopening for Cause	16
2.28	Construction and Operation Permits	17
2.29	Permit Modifications	17
2.30	Insignificant Activities	17
2.31	Standard Application Form and Required Information	17
2.32	Property Rights	17
2.33	Refrigerant Requirements (Stratospheric Ozone and Climate Protection)	17
2.34	Prevention of Accidental Releases - Section 112(r)	18
2.35	Title IV Allowances	18

2.36	Air Pollution Alert, Warning or Emergency	18
2.37	Registration of Air Pollution Sources	18
2.38	Ambient Air Quality Standards	18
2.39	Odor	19
2.40	Fugitive Dust	19
2.41	NSPS - General Provisions	19
2.42	NSPS - Good Air Pollution Control Practice	19
2.43	NSPS - Circumvention	19
2.44	NSPS - Maintain Records - startup/shutdown/malfunction	20
2.45	NSPS - Files Available For Inspection	20
2.46	NSPS - Performance Testing Facilities Provided By Permittee	20
2.47	CAM - Proper Maintenance	21
2.48	CAM - Continued Operation	21
2.49	CAM - Response to Excursions or Exceedances	21
2.50	CAM - Documentation of Need for Improving Monitoring	22
2.51	NESHAP - General Provisions	22
2.52	NESHAP - Circumvention	22
2.53	NESHAP - Maintain Records	23
2.54	NESHAP - Files Available for Inspection	23
2.55	NESHAP - Performance Testing Facilities Provided by Permittee	24

SECTION 3:

SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS		
3.1	Facility-Wide Emission Source Conditions	25
3.2	Source Specific Emission Limits	28
3.3	Particulate Emission Limits	32
3.4	Sulfur Dioxide Emission Limits	33
3.5	Control of Visible Emissions	35
3.6	PM-Periodic Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting	36
3.7	Work Practices for Sources of VOC	39
3.8	NESHAP - 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ	41
3.9	Limit to Avoid Applicability of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJ	46
3.10	NESHAP - 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJ	47

SECTION 4:

CONTROL OF TOXIC AIR POLLUTANTS - LOCALLY ENFORCEABLE ONLY		
4.1	Facility-Wide Toxic Air Pollutant Conditions	50

PART II**AIR QUALITY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT****SECTION 1:**

PERMITTED EMISSION SOURCE(S) AND ASSOCIATED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE(S)		53
--	--	----

SECTION 2:

GENERAL CONDITIONS		54
---------------------------	--	----

SECTION 3:

SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS		56
--	--	----

SECTION 4:

SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS		67
--	--	----

**Part I
SECTION 1
PERMITTED EQUIPMENT AND ASSOCIATED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE(S)**

1.1 Equipment List and Applicable Conditions

Building 851-1 Cigarette Manufacturing

ES#	Emission Source	Applicable Standards						CAM			non-CAM		Visible Emissions		
		PM	PM	PM	SO2	SO2	VOC	Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber	3.5(A)	3.5(B) NSPS Dc	
		3.3(A)	3.3(B)	max.	3.3(B)	3.4(A)	3.4(B)	3.7	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(A)	3.6(A)		
Applicable Permit Section	(1)	lb/hr	(2)				(1), (4)	(2), (4)	(3), (4)	(1), (3), (4)	(2), (3), (4)				
ES#	Emission Source	CD#	Controls		EP#	Emission Point									
Building 851-1 Cigarette Manufacturing															
1	Strip Receiving/Blending		x	45.0	x			102	x		x		9	x	
								103	x		x		10	x	
								104	x		x		8	x	
								70				x	21/21A	x	
								82				x	12/12A	x	
								84,85,86				x	6/6A	x	
								87,88				x	5/5A	x	
								89				x	1/1:2A	x	
								90				x	5/5A	x	
								91				x	4/4:47A	x	
								95				x	2/1:2A	x	
						105				x	47/4:47A	x			
2	Reconstituted Tobacco Input		x	35.4	x			87			x		5/5A	x	
								92			x		16/16A	x	
								100			x		18	x	
								101			x		17	x	
5	Recovered Tobacco Input (Menthol)		x	11.2	x			67			x		24/24E	x	
								92			x		16/16A	x	
6	Recovered Tobacco Silo Discharge (Menthol)		x	17.9	x			67			x		24/24E	x	
7	Recovered Tobacco Conveying		x	17.9	x			67			x		24/24E	x	
								77	x		x		24	x	
								79				x		24	x
								71,72,73,74				x		24/24A 24B/24C 24D	x

Applicable Standards						
PM	PM	PM	SO2	SO2	VOC	
3.3(A)	3.3(B)	max.	3.3(B)	3.4(A)	3.4(B)	3.7
	(1)	lb/hr	(2)			

CAM			non-CAM	
Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber
3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(A)	3.6(A)
(1), (4)	(2), (4)	(3), (4)	(1), (3), (4)	(2), (3), (4)

Visible Emissions	
3.5(A)	3.5(B)
	NSPS Dc

Applicable Permit Section

ES#	Emission Source	CD#	Controls	EP#	Emission Point
8	Processed & Recovered Tobacco Input	67		24/24E	x
		80	x	24	x
		92		16/16A	x
9	Processed Tobacco Conveying	66		51	x
		67		24/24E	x
		71,72,73,74		24/24A 24B/24C 24D	x
10	Expanded Tobacco Conveying	63		25 25:51A	x
		71,72,73,74		24/24A 24B/24C 24D	x
11	Tobacco Strip Conveying/Blending	68,70		21/21A	x
		87,88,90		5/5A	x
		89	x	1/1:2A	x
		95		1/1:2A	x
		91		4/4:47A	x
		105		47/4:47A	x
12	Tobacco Strip Conveying/Storage	68		21/21A	x
		69	x	22/22A	x
		70	x	21/21A	x
		71,72,73,74		24/24A 24B/24C 24D	x
		107		37	x
13	Tobacco Strip Conveying to Casing/Drying	107		37	x
		112		36	x
14	Tobacco Strip Casing/Drying	70		21/21A	x
		107		37	x
		112		36	x
		108	x	34	x
		109	x	35	x
		128		43	x
		129		40	x
		N/A		38,39, 41,42	x

Applicable Standards						
PM	PM		PM	SO2	SO2	VOC
3.3(A)	3.3(B)	max.	3.3(B)	3.4(A)	3.4(B)	3.7
	(1)	lb/hr	(2)			

CAM			non-CAM	
Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber
3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(A)	3.6(A)
(1),(4),(5)	(2),(4),(5)	(3),(4),(5)	(1), (3), (4)	(2), (3), (4)

Visible Emissions	
3.5(A)	3.5(B) NSPS Dc

Applicable Permit Section

ES#	Emission Source	Applicable Standards						CD#	Controls					EP#	Emission Point	
15	Tobacco Casing/Cutting/Storage		x	45.2	x			13,19				x		32/32A	x	
								14,20				x		31/31A	x	
								15,16				x		30/30A	x	
								17,18				x		29/29A	x	
								50-53, 55, 57, 59, 61				x		23	x	
								54, 56, 58, 60	x		x			23	x	
								71-74				x		24/24A 24B/24C 24D	x	
								119, 120, 121, 122					x	20	x	
								123, 124, 125, 126					x	23	x	
						N/A						26	x			
16	Cut Tobacco Silo Discharge		x	43.6	x			13,19				x		32/32A	x	
								14,20				x		31/31A	x	
								15,16				x		30/30A	x	
								18				x		29/29A	x	
18	Filter Making		x	25.2	x		x	113				x		29/29B	x	
								114				x		32/32B	x	
								115				x		30/30B	x	
								116				x		31/31B	x	
19	Cigarette Making		x	45.7	x			1,2				x		29	x	
								21, 22	x		x			29	x	
								3,4				x		32	x	
								23, 24	x		x			32	x	
								5,6,7,10				x		31	x	
								25-27, 30, 131	x		x			31	x	
								8,9,11,12				x		30	x	
						28, 29, 31, 32	x		x			30	x			

Applicable Standards						
PM	PM	PM	SO2	SO2	VOC	
3.3(A)	3.3(B)	max.	3.3(B)	3.4(A)	3.4(B)	3.7
	(1)	lb/hr	(2)			

CAM			non-CAM	
Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber
3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(A)	3.6(A)
(1), (4)	(2), (4)	(3), (4)	(1), (3), (4)	(2), (3), (4)

Visible Emissions	
3.5(A)	3.5(B)
	NSPS Dc

Applicable Permit Section	Emission Source							CD#	Controls					EP#	Emission Point	
20	Housekeeping (Industrial Vac)	x	19.2	x				33				x		30	x	
								35				x		31	x	
								38,42				x		29	x	
								40,44,45				x		32	x	
								46				x		33	x	
								48	Note: This control vents through CD-71.			x		24/24A/ 24B/24C/2 4D	x	
								64				x		25	x	
								93				x		6	x	
								106				x		4	x	
								111				x		37	x	
21	Tobacco Expansion Process	x	10.2					66				x		51/25:51A	x	
								63				x		25/25:51A	x	
							x	130		x	x			52	x	
								127					x	50	x	
								92				x		16/16A	x	
								67				x		14/24E	x	
F13	Casing Preparation Area															
F16	Packing Equipment															

Building 854-8 Utilities

ES#	Emission Source	PM	PM	PM	SO2	SO2	VOC	CD#	Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber	EP#	3.5(A)	3.5(B)
1	Boiler #5: (87.9 mmBtu/hr, NG)							N/A								
	Combusting #2 fuel oil	x			x									22		x
	Combusting natural gas	x				x									x	
2	Boiler #6: (87.9 mmBtu/hr, NG)							N/A								
	Combusting #2 fuel oil	x			x									23		x
	Combusting natural gas	x				x									x	
3	Boiler #7: (87.9 mmBtu/hr, NG)							N/A								
	Combusting #2 fuel oil	x			x									24		x
	Combusting natural gas	x				x									x	
4	#2 Emergency Gen. 19.92 MMBtu/hr						x	N/A						25	x	

Applicable Standards						
PM	PM	PM	SO2	SO2	VOC	
3.3(A)	3.3(B)	max.	3.3(B)	3.4(A)	3.4(B)	3.7
	(1)	lb/hr	(2)			

CAM			non-CAM	
Fabric Filter	Fume Incin.	Visual Observ.	Fabric Filter	Wet Scrubber
3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(B)	3.6(A)	3.6(A)
(1), (4)	(2), (4)	(3), (4)	(1), (3), (4)	(2), (3), (4)

Visible Emissions	
3.5(A)	3.5(B)
	NSPS Dc

ES#	Emission Source	CD#	Controls					EP#	Emission Point	
Building 851-9 R & D										
1	Blending & Conditioning	N/A						1		
2	Casing & Drying	N/A						2,3,4	x	
		3	x					5	x	
3	Casing & Cutting	N/A						7,8,9,11,12	x	
		1					x	10	x	
		2					x	13	x	
4	Smokeless Processing	N/A						6	x	
5	Making & Packing	N/A						Fugitive		
6	Waste Grinding	4, 5					x	14	x	
7	Glass Preparation Process	6, 7					x	15	x	
8	Carbon Unloading & Storage	8, 9					x	16	x	
9	Carbon & Tobacco Milling/Mixing	8, 9					x	16	x	
10	Heat Source Processing & Making	10, 11					x	17	x	
11	Cigarette Making Line 1	12					x	18	x	
		13					x	19	x	
	Cigarette Making Line 2	13					x	19	x	
		14					x	20	x	
12	Glass Fiberizer Lines 1&2	N/A						22	x	
13	OFP Dryer	15					x	21	x	

Note:
The "x" denotes the applicable conditions in Sections 3.3 - 3.7.

1.2 Operating Conditions Not Covered Under the Permit Shield

The following specific conditions have been revised or added to this permit following procedures other than the Significant Modification procedures in Section 3Q .0500 of the Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code. As required under Rule 3Q .0512 Permit Shield and Application Shield, a permit shield is not provided for these new or revised permit requirements. During the next Significant Modification as defined in Rule 3Q .0516 or renewal of this permit, the Title V permit applications for the new and revised permit requirements listed below will also be processed according to the Significant Modification procedures and then a permit shield will be extended at that time.

Source ID	Source Description	Unshielded Operating Conditions	Effective Date
ES-15-851-1 (CD-61-851-1)	Tobacco Casing, Cutting and Storage: Added CD-61-851-1 (serving EP-23-851-1) which had been inadvertently omitted from the permit.	Part I: condition 3.5(A) and condition 3.6(A)(1), (3) and (4)	January 9, 2012 (Permit #00745-TV-30)
Facility-wide	Facility-wide	Part I: condition 2.0	January 9, 2012 (Permit #00745-TV-30)
F-13-851-1	Casing Preparation Area: Added F-13-851-1 which had been inadvertently omitted from the permit.	Part I: condition 3.7	January 9, 2012 (Permit #00745-TV-30)
ES-19-851-1 (CD-131-851-1 & CD-31-851-1)	Switched control of three Cigarette Makers from CD-31-851-1 to reinstalled CD-131-851-1.	No Part I conditions changed. (CD-131-851-1 had been inadvertently left on the permit after it had been removed from use in December 2009.)	July 6, 2012 (Permit #00745-TV-31)
ES-854-8-4	Emergency Generator, 3,210 HP, Diesel-fired, 19.92 mmBtu/hr	Part I, conditions 3.8, 2.53 and 2.55	July 18, 2013 (Permit #00745-TV-32)
ES-8-851-1	Processed & Recovered Tobacco Input	No conditions unshielded, just the equipment itself	July 18, 2013 (Permit #00745-TV-32)
ES-9-851-1	Processed Tobacco Conveying	No conditions unshielded, just the equipment itself	July 18, 2013 (Permit #00745-TV-32)
ES-21-851-1	Tobacco Expansion Process	No conditions unshielded, just the equipment itself	July 18, 2013 (Permit #00745-TV-32)
ES-854-8-1, ES-854-8-2, ES-854-8-3	Three boilers	Part I, conditions 3.10, 3.9, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.54 and 2.55	July 18, 2013 (Permit #00745-TV-32)
Facility-wide	Facility-wide	Part I, conditions 3.1(A)(1) and (2)	May 7, 2015 (Permit #00745-TV-33)
ES-9-851-1	Processed Tobacco Conveying	No conditions unshielded, just the equipment itself	June 26, 2015 (Permit #00745-TV-34)
ES-12-851-1	Tobacco Strip Conveying/Storage	No conditions unshielded, just the equipment itself	June 26, 2015 (Permit #00745-TV-34)

SECTION 2 FACILITY GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS

2.1 **General Provisions** [Subchapter 3A and Rule 3Q .0508(i)(16)]

- A. Terms not otherwise defined in this permit shall have the meaning assigned to such terms as defined in Subchapters 3D and 3Q of the Forsyth County Air Quality Technical Code (FCAQTC).
- B. The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit are binding and enforceable pursuant to Subchapter 3A of the Forsyth County Air Quality Ordinance (FCAQO), including assessment of civil and/or criminal penalties. This permit is valid only for the specific processes and operations applied for and indicated in the air quality permit application. Any unauthorized deviation from the conditions of this permit may constitute grounds for revocation and enforcement action by this Office.
- C. This permit is not a waiver of or approval of any other permits that may be required for other aspects of the facility which are not addressed in this permit.
- D. This permit does not relieve the permittee from liability for harm or injury to human health or welfare, animal or plant life, or property caused by the construction or operation of this permitted facility, or from penalties therefore. This permit does not allow the permittee to cause pollution in contravention of local laws or rules, unless specifically authorized by an order from the Director, or to cause pollution in contravention of state laws or rules.
- E. Terms and conditions contained herein shall be enforceable by this Office, the U.S. EPA and citizens of the United States as defined in the federal Clean Air Act, except those identified as **Locally Enforceable Only** requirements which are enforceable by this Office.
- F. Any stationary installation which will reasonably be expected to be a source of pollution shall not be operated, maintained or modified without the appropriate and valid permits issued by this Office, unless the source is exempted by rule. This Office may issue a permit only after it receives reasonable assurance that the installation will not cause pollution in violation of any of the applicable requirements.
- G. In addition to the authority found in Rules 3D. 0501 and 3Q .0508(i)(16), any deviation from the monitoring provisions of this permit may result in a request by this Office to submit data on rates of emissions in order to demonstrate compliance with any applicable regulation.

2.2 Permit Availability [Rules 3Q .0507(k), .0508(i)(16), .0508(i)(9) and .0110]

The permittee shall have available at the facility a copy of this permit and shall retain for the duration of the permit term one complete copy of the application and any information submitted in support of the application package. The permit and application shall be made available to an authorized representative of this Office or the U.S. EPA upon request.

2.3 Submissions [Rules 3Q .0507(c), .0508(i)(16) and .0104]

All documents, reports, test data, monitoring data, notifications, request for renewal, and any other information required to be sent to this Office by this permit shall be submitted to the Forsyth County Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection, Forsyth County Government Center, 201 N. Chestnut Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27101-4120.

2.4 Severability Clause [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(2)]

The provisions of this permit are severable. If any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any specific circumstance, is challenged, the application of the provision in question to other circumstances, as well as the remainder of this permit's provisions, shall not be affected.

2.5 Duty to Comply [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(3)]

The permittee shall comply with all terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit. Noncompliance with any permit condition is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

2.6 Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(4)]

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

2.7 Permit Shield [Rule 3Q .0512(a)]

- A. Compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit shall be deemed compliance with applicable requirements, where such applicable requirements are included and specifically identified in the permit as of the date of permit issuance.
- B. A permit shield shall not alter or affect:
 1. the power of the Forsyth County Board of Commissioners, Director, or Governor under NCGS 143-215.3(a)(12) or the U.S. EPA under Section 303 of the federal Clean Air Act;
 2. the liability of an owner or operator of a facility for any violation of applicable requirements prior to the effective date of the permit or at the time of permit issuance;
 3. the applicable requirements under Title IV of the Clean Air Act; or

4. the ability of the Director or the U.S. EPA under Section 114 of the federal Clean Air Act to obtain information to determine compliance of the facility with its permit.

C. A permit shield shall not apply to any change made at a facility that does not require a permit or to any permit revision made under Rule 3Q .0523.

D. A permit shield shall not extend to minor permit modifications made under Rule 3Q .0515.

2.8 Circumvention [Rules 3D .0502 and 3Q .0508(i)(16)]

No person shall circumvent any permitted air pollution control device, or allow the emissions of regulated air pollutants without the applicable air pollution control device operating properly. Unless otherwise specified by this permit, no permitted emission source may be operated without the concurrent operation of its associated air pollution control device(s) and appurtenances.

2.9 Good Air Pollution Control Practice [Rules 3D .0502 and 3Q .0508(i)(16)]

At all times, the equipment listed in *Section 1* shall be operated and maintained in a manner consistent with the design and emissions control as applied for in the application.

2.10 Reporting Requirements for Excess Emissions and Permit Deviations [Rules 3D .0535(f) and 3Q .0508(f)(2), 3Q .0508(i)(16) and 3Q .0508(g)]

“Excess Emissions” - means an emission rate that exceeds any applicable emission limitation or standard allowed by any rule in Sections 3D .0500, .0900, .1200 or .1400; or by a permit condition; or that exceeds a **Locally Enforceable Only** emission limit established in a permit issued under Section 3Q .0700. (*Note: This definition applies where the NSPS does not further define excess emissions for an affected NSPS emissions source.*)

“Deviation” - means any action or condition not in accordance with the terms and conditions of this permit including those attributable to upset conditions.

A. Sources subject to Rules 3D .0524, .1110 or .1111

Excess Emissions and Permit Deviations

1. If the source specific NSPS (3D .0524) or NESHAP (3D .1110 or .1111) defines "excess emissions", these shall be reported as prescribed in 3D .0524, .1110 or .1111.
2. If the source specific NSPS (3D .0524) or NESHAP (3D .1110 or .1111) does NOT define “excess emissions”, the permittee shall report excess emissions as deviations from permit requirements as prescribed in paragraph 3, below.
3. In addition to any specific NSPS or NESHAP reporting requirements the permittee shall upon becoming aware:

- (a) report to this Office any deviations from permit requirements by the next business day, unless an alternative reporting schedule is specifically provided in the permit, and
- (b) report in writing to this Office all deviations from permit requirements or any excess emissions within two business days, unless an alternative reporting schedule is specifically provided in the permit. The written report shall include the probable cause of such deviations and any corrective actions or preventative actions taken. Reports of all deviations from permit requirements shall be certified by a responsible official.

B. Sources NOT subject to Rules 3D .0524, 1110 or .1111

1. Excess Emissions Greater than Four Hours in Duration [3D .0535(f)]

The permittee shall report excess emissions greater than four hours in duration as prescribed in Rule 3D .0535(f) including, but not limited to the following:

- (a) Notify this Office of any such occurrence by 9:00 a.m. Eastern time of this Office's next business day of becoming aware of the occurrence as described in Rule 3D .0535(f)(1);
- (b) Notify this Office immediately when corrective measures have been accomplished; and
- (c) Submit, if requested, to this Office within 15 days after the request, a written report as described in Rule 3D .0535(f)(3).

2. Excess Emissions Less than Four Hours in Duration and Deviations [3Q .0508(f)]

The permittee shall report excess emissions less than four hours in duration and deviations from permit requirements as follows:

- (a) Report to this Office any excess emissions less than four hours in duration and any deviations from permit requirements quarterly, unless an alternative reporting schedule is specifically provided in the permit; and
- (b) Report in writing to this Office any excess emission less than four hours in duration or any deviations from permit requirements quarterly, unless an alternative reporting schedule is specifically provided in the permit. The written report shall include the probable cause of such excess emissions and deviations and any corrective actions or preventative actions taken. All reports of excess emissions and deviations from permit requirements shall be certified by a responsible official.

- C. Other Requirements under Rule 3D .0535 (Rule 3D .0535(g) is **Locally Enforceable Only**).

The permittee shall comply with all other requirements contained in Rule 3D .0535.

2.11 Emergency Provisions <40 CFR 70.6(g)>

The permittee shall be subject to the following provision with regard to emergencies:

- A. An "emergency" means any situation arising from sudden and reasonably unforeseeable events beyond the control of the facility, including acts of God, which situation requires immediate corrective action to restore normal operation, and that causes the facility to exceed a technology-based emission limitation under the permit due to unavoidable increases in emissions attributable to the emergency. An emergency shall not include noncompliance to the extent caused by improperly designed equipment, lack of preventive maintenance, careless or improper operation, or operator error.
- B. An emergency constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based emission limitations if the conditions specified in paragraph C below are met.
- C. The affirmative defense of emergency shall be demonstrated through properly signed contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that include information as follows:
1. an emergency occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the emergency;
 2. the permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 3. during the period of the emergency the permittee took all reasonable steps to minimize levels of emissions that exceeded the standards, or other requirements in the permit; and
 4. the permittee submitted notice of the emergency to this Office within two working days of the time when emission limitations were exceeded due to the emergency. This notice must contain a description of the emergency, and steps taken to mitigate emissions, and corrective actions taken.
- D. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency has the burden of proof.
- E. This provision is in addition to any emergency or upset provision contained in any applicable requirement specified elsewhere herein.

2.12 **Permit Fees** [Rules 3Q .0206(b), .0508(i)(10) and .0519(a)(4)]

If, within 30 days after being billed, the permittee fails to pay an annual permit fee required under Subchapter 3Q .0200 of the FCAQTC, the Director may initiate action to terminate this permit under Rule 3Q .0519 of the FCAQTC.

2.13 **Annual Emission Inventory Requirements** [Rule 3Q .0207]

The permittee shall report to the Director by June 30th of each year the actual emissions of each air pollutant listed in Rule 3Q .0207(a) from each emission source within the facility during the previous calendar year. The report shall be in or on such form(s) as may be established by the Director. The accuracy of the report shall be certified by a responsible official of the facility.

2.14 **Compliance Certification** <40 CFR 70.6(c)> [Rules 3Q .0508(n) and .0508(i)(16)]

By March 1st unless another date is established by the Director, the permittee shall submit to this Office and the U.S. EPA (**U.S. EPA Region 4, Air Enforcement Section, Mail Code: 4APT-AEEB, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, GA 30303**) a compliance certification by a responsible official with all terms and conditions in the permit, including emissions limitations, standards, or work practices. The compliance certification shall comply with additional requirements as may be specified under Sections 114(a)(3) or 504(b) of the federal Clean Air Act. The compliance certification shall include all of the following (provided that the identification of applicable information may cross-reference the permit or previous reports as applicable):

- A. the identification of each term or condition of the permit that is the basis of the certification;
- B. the status of compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit for the period covered by the certification, based on the methods or means designated in 40 CFR 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(B). The certification shall identify each deviation and take it into account in the compliance certification. The certification shall also identify as possible exceptions to compliance any periods during which compliance is required and in which an excursion or exceedance as defined under 40 CFR 64 occurred;
- C. whether compliance was continuous or intermittent;
- D. the identification of the method(s) or other means used by the owner and operator for determining the compliance status with each term and condition during the certification period; these methods shall include the methods and means required under 40 CFR Part 70.6(a)(3); and
- E. such other facts as the Director may require to determine the compliance status of the source.

2.15 Retention of Records [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

The permittee shall retain records of all required monitoring data and supporting information for a period of at least five years from the date of the monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. Supporting information includes all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip-chart recordings for continuous monitoring information, and copies of all reports required by the permit.

2.16 NESHAP - Recordkeeping Requirement for Applicability Determinations <40 CFR 63.10(b)(3)> [Rule 3D .1111]

If the permittee determines that his or her stationary source that emits (or has the potential to emit, without considering controls) one or more hazardous air pollutants is not subject to a relevant standard or other requirement established under 40 CFR Part 63, the permittee shall keep a record of the applicability determination on site at the source for a period of 5 years after the determination, or until the source changes its operations to become an affected source. This record shall include all of the information required under 40 CFR 63.10(b)(3).

2.17 Duty to Provide Information [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(9)]

- A. The permittee shall furnish to this Office, in a timely manner, any reasonable information that the Director may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating the permit or to determine compliance with the permit.
- B. The permittee shall furnish this Office copies of records required to be kept by the permit when such copies are requested by the Director.

2.18 Duty to Supplement or Correct Application [Rule 3Q .0507(f)]

The permittee, upon becoming aware that any relevant facts were omitted from the application or that incorrect information was submitted with the application, shall promptly submit such supplementary facts or corrected information to this Office. The permittee shall also provide additional information necessary to address any requirements that become applicable to the source after the date a complete application was submitted but prior to release of the draft permit.

2.19 Certification by Responsible Official [Rule 3Q .0520]

A responsible official (as defined in 40 CFR 70.2) shall certify the truth, accuracy, and completeness of any application form, report, or compliance certification required by this permit. All certifications shall state that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statement and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.

2.20 Inspection and Entry [Rule 3Q .0508(l)]

- A. Upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, the permittee shall allow authorized representatives of this Office to perform the following:

1. enter upon the permittee's premises where the permitted facility is located or emissions-related activity is conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of the permit;
2. have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under conditions of the permit;
3. inspect, at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices any source, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under the permit; and
4. sample or monitor substances or parameters, at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices, for the purpose of assuring compliance with the permit or applicable requirements.

Nothing in this condition shall limit the ability of the U.S. EPA to inspect or enter the premises of the permittee under Section 114 or other provisions of the Clean Air Act.

- B. No person shall obstruct, hamper or interfere with any such authorized representative while in the process of carrying out his official duties.

2.21 Averaging Times <40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)> [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

Unless otherwise specified in *Section 3* of this permit for a specific emission standard or limitation, the applicable averaging period for determining compliance with an emission standard or limitation during compliance testing shall be based on the applicable U.S. EPA reference test method.

2.22 Compliance Testing [Rule 3D .0501(b)]

When requested by this Office for determining compliance with emission control standards, means shall be provided by the owner to allow periodic sampling and measuring of emission rates, including necessary ports, scaffolding and power to operate sampling equipment; and upon the request of this Office, data on rates of emissions shall be supplied by the permittee.

2.23 General Emissions Testing and Reporting Requirements [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(16)]

When required to conduct emissions testing under the terms of the permit:

- A. The permittee shall submit a sampling protocol to this Office at least 30 days prior to the scheduled test date.
- B. The permittee shall notify this Office of the specific test dates at least 10 days prior to the scheduled test date in order to afford this Office the opportunity to have an observer on-site during the sampling program.
- C. During all sampling periods, the permittee shall operate the emission source(s) under operating conditions approved by the Director or his delegate.
- D. The permittee shall submit one copy of the test report to this Office. The test report shall contain at a minimum the following information:
 1. a certification of the test results by sampling team leader and facility representative;

2. a summary of emissions results and text detailing the objectives of the testing program, the applicable state and federal regulations, and conclusions about the testing and compliance status of the emission source(s) as appropriate;
 3. a detailed description of the tested emission source(s) and sampling location(s) process flow diagrams, engineering drawings, and sampling location schematics as necessary;
 4. all field, analytical and calibration data necessary to verify that the testing was performed as specified in the applicable test methods;
 5. example calculations for at least one test run using equations in the applicable test methods and all test results including intermediate parameter calculations; and
 6. documentation of facility operating conditions during all testing periods and an explanation relating these operating conditions to maximum normal operation. If necessary, provide historical process data to verify maximum normal operation.
- E. This Office will review emission test results with respect to the specified testing objectives as proposed by the permittee and approved by this Office.

2.24 **Termination, Modification, and Revocation of the Permit** [Rule 3Q .0519]

The Director may terminate, modify, or revoke and reissue this permit if:

- A. the information contained in the application or presented in support thereof is determined to be incorrect;
- B. the conditions under which the permit or permit renewal was granted have changed;
- C. violations of conditions contained in the permit have occurred;
- D. the permit holder fails to pay fees required under Section 3Q .0200 within 30 days after being billed;
- E. the permittee refuses to allow the Director or his authorized representative upon presentation of credentials:
 1. to enter, at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices, the permittee's premises in which a source of emissions is located or in which any records are required to be kept under terms and conditions of the permit;
 2. to have access, at reasonable times, to any copy or records required to be kept under terms and conditions of the permit;
 3. to inspect, at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices, any source of emissions, control equipment, and any monitoring equipment or method required in the permit; or
 4. to sample, at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices, any emission sources at the facility;

- F. the U.S. EPA requests that the permit be revoked under 40 CFR 70.7(g) or 70.8(d); or
- G. the Director finds that termination, modification, or revocation and reissuance of the permit is necessary to carry out the purpose of Chapter 3 of the Forsyth County Code.

2.25 Permit Reopenings, Modifications, Revocations and Reissuances, or Terminations [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(5)]

The Director may reopen, modify, revoke and reissue, or terminate this permit for reasons specified in Rule 3Q .0517 or .0519. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit revision, revocation and reissuance, or termination, notification of planned changes, or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition in this permit.

2.26 Permit Renewal [Rule 3Q .0508(e) and Rule 3Q .0513]

This permit is issued for a term not to exceed five years. Permits issued under Title IV of the Clean Air Act shall be issued for a fixed period of five years. This permit shall expire at the end of its term. Permit expiration terminates the facility's right to operate unless a complete renewal application is submitted at least nine months before the date of permit expiration. If the permittee or applicant has complied with Rule 3Q .0512(b)(1), this permit shall not expire until the renewal permit has been issued or denied. All terms and conditions of this permit shall remain in effect until the renewal permit has been issued or denied.

2.27 Reopening for Cause [Rules 3Q .0517 and .0508(g)]

This permit shall be reopened and revised in accordance with Rule 3Q .0517 prior to its expiration date, for any of the following reasons:

- A. Additional applicable requirements become applicable to the facility with remaining permit term of three or more years.
- B. Additional requirements, including excess emissions requirements, become applicable to this source under Title IV of the Clean Air Act. Excess emissions offset plans for this source shall become part of this permit upon approval by the U.S. EPA.
- C. The Director or the U.S. EPA finds that a material mistake or that inaccurate statements were made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions of this permit.
- D. The Director or the U.S. EPA determines that the permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with the applicable requirements.

2.28 Construction and Operation Permits [Sections 3Q .0100 and .0300]

A construction and operating permit shall be obtained by the permittee for any proposed new or modified facility or emission source which is not exempted from having a permit prior to the beginning of construction or modification, in accordance with all applicable provisions of Sections 3Q .0100 and .0300.

2.29 Permit Modifications [Rules 3Q .0514, .0515, .0516, .0517, .0523 and .0524]

- A. Permit modifications may be subject to the requirements of Rules 3Q .0514, .0515, .0516 and .0524.
- B. Changes made pursuant to Rules 3Q .0523(a) and (b) do not require a permit modification.
- C. The permittee shall submit an application for reopening for cause in accordance with Rule 3Q .0517 if notified by this Office.
- D. To the extent that emissions trading is allowed under FCAQTC Subchapter 3D, including subsequently adopted maximum achievable control technology standards, emissions trading shall be allowed without permit revision pursuant to Rule 3Q .0523(c).

2.30 Insignificant Activities [Rules 3Q .0503 and .0508(i)(15)]

Because an emission source or activity is insignificant does not mean that the emission source or activity is exempted from any applicable requirement or that the owner or operator of the source is exempted from demonstrating compliance with any applicable requirement. The permittee shall have available at the facility at all times and made available to an authorized representative of this Office upon request, documentation, including calculations if necessary, to demonstrate that an emission source or activity is insignificant.

2.31 Standard Application Form and Required Information [Rules 3Q .0505 and .0507]

The permittee shall submit applications and required information in accordance with the provision of Rules 3Q .0505 and .0507.

2.32 Property Rights [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(8)]

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges.

2.33 Refrigerant Requirements (Stratospheric Ozone and Climate Protection) [Rule 3Q .0508(b)]

- A. If the permittee has appliances or refrigeration equipment, including air conditioning equipment, which use Class I or II ozone-depleting substances such as chlorofluorocarbons and hydrochlorofluorocarbons listed as refrigerants in 40 CFR 82 Subpart A, Appendices A and B, the permittee shall service, repair, and maintain such equipment according to the work practices and personnel certification requirements, and the permittee shall use certified recycling and recovery equipment specified in 40 CFR 82 Subpart F.

- B. The permittee shall not knowingly vent or otherwise release any Class I or II substance into the environment during the repair, servicing, maintenance, or disposal of any such device except as provided in 40 CFR 82 Subpart F.
- C. The permittee shall comply with all reporting and recordkeeping requirements of 40 CFR 82.166. Reports shall be submitted to the U.S. EPA or its designee as required.

2.34 Prevention of Accidental Releases - Section 112(r) [Rule 3Q .0508(h)]

If the permittee is required to develop and register a risk management plan pursuant to Section 112(r) of the federal Clean Air Act, then the permittee is required to register this plan in accordance with 40 CFR Part 68.

2.35 Title IV Allowances [Rule 3Q .0508(i)(1)]

The facility's emissions are prohibited from exceeding any allowances that the facility lawfully holds under Title IV of the Clean Air Act. This permit shall not limit the number of allowances held by the permittee, but the permittee may not use allowances as a defense to noncompliance with any other applicable requirement.

2.36 Air Pollution Alert, Warning or Emergency [Section 3D .0300]

Should the Director of this Office declare an Air Pollution Alert, Warning or Emergency, the permittee will be required to operate in accordance with the permittee's previously approved Emission Reduction Plan or, in the absence of an approved plan, with the appropriate requirements specified in Section 3D .0300.

2.37 Registration of Air Pollution Sources [Rule 3D .0202]

The Director of this Office may require the permittee to register a source of air pollution. If the permittee is required to register a source of air pollution, this registration and required information shall be in accordance with Rule 3D .0202(b).

2.38 Ambient Air Quality Standards [Rule 3D .0501(e)]

In addition to any control or manner of operation necessary to meet emission standards specified in this permit, any source of air pollution shall be operated with such control or in such manner that the source shall not cause the ambient air quality standards in Rule 3D .0400 to be exceeded at any point beyond the premises on which the source is located. When controls more stringent than named in the applicable emission standards in this permit are required to prevent violation of the ambient air quality standards or are required to create an offset, the permit shall contain a condition requiring these controls.

2.39 Odor [Rule 3D .0522] *Locally Enforceable Only*

The permittee shall not cause or permit the emission of odors beyond the facility's property lines which are harmful, irritating or which unreasonably interfere with the use and enjoyment of any person's properties or living conditions, or any public properties or facilities. Such odors are prohibited by Rule 3D .0522. No violation shall be cited, provided that the best practical treatment, maintenance, and control of odor(s) currently available is used. This requirement does not apply to normal agricultural practices, nor to accidental emissions of odors which are not normally produced during routine operations and activities as determined by the Director.

2.40 Fugitive Dust Control Requirement [Rule 3D .0540]

The permittee shall not cause or allow fugitive dust emissions to cause or contribute to substantive complaints or excess visible emissions beyond the property boundary. If substantive complaints or excessive fugitive dust emissions from the facility are observed beyond the property boundaries for six minutes in any one hour (using Reference Method 22 in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A), the owner or operator may be required to submit and implement a fugitive dust control plan as described in 3D .0540(f).

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) General Provisions - Permit Conditions

Following are conditions found in the 40 CFR Part 60 NSPS General Provisions. The following conditions only apply to sources subject to a relevant standard of a subpart of 40 CFR Part 60 except when otherwise specified in a particular subpart or in a relevant standard.

2.41 NSPS - General Provisions <40 CFR 60 Subpart A> [Rule 3D .0524]

The permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements specified in the general provisions of the New Source Performance Standards (40 CFR 60 Subpart A) including but not limited to requirements concerning notifications, testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, modifications and reconstruction.

2.42 NSPS - Good Air Pollution Control Practice <40 CFR 60.11(d)> [Rule 3D .0524]

At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, the permittee shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate any affected facility including associated air pollution control equipment in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions.

2.43 NSPS - Circumvention <40 CFR 60.12> [Rule 3D .0524]

Permittee shall not build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment or process, the use of which conceals an emission which would otherwise constitute a violation of an applicable standard under 40 CFR 60. Such concealment includes, but is not limited to, the use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with an opacity standard or with a standard which is based on the concentration of a pollutant in the gases discharged to the atmosphere.

2.44 NSPS - Maintain Records - Startup/Shutdown/Malfunction <40 CFR 60.7(b)>
[Rule 3D .0524]

The permittee shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of the affected facility; any malfunction of the air pollution control equipment; or any periods during which a continuous monitoring system or monitoring device is inoperative.

2.45 NSPS - Files Available for Inspection <40 CFR 60.7(f)> [Rule 3D .0524]

The permittee shall maintain a file of all measurements, including, if applicable, performance test measurements and all other information required in 40 CFR 60 . This file shall be kept in a permanent form suitable for inspection and shall be retained at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance, reports, and records.

2.46 NSPS - Performance Testing Facilities Provided by Permittee <40 CFR 60.8(e)>
[Rule 3D .0524]

For any performance testing, the permittee shall provide, or cause to be provided, performance testing facilities as follows:

- A. Sampling ports adequate for the applicable test methods. This includes:
 1. constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures and
 2. providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures.
- B. Safe sampling platform(s) with safe access.
- C. Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.
- D. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart, each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method. Each run shall be conducted for the time and under the conditions specified in the applicable standard. For purposes of determining compliance with an applicable standard, the arithmetic means of results of the three runs shall apply.

**Compliance Assurance Monitoring for Major Stationary Sources (CAM) -
General Conditions - <40 CFR Part 64>**

Following are conditions based on the requirements found in 40 CFR Part 64. These conditions only apply to sources subject to the CAM requirements.

2.47 CAM - Proper Maintenance <40 CFR 64.7(b)> [Rule 3D .0614]

At all times, the permittee shall maintain the monitoring equipment, including but not limited to, maintaining necessary parts for routine repairs of the monitoring equipment.

2.48 CAM - Continued Operation <40 CFR 64.7(c)> [Rule 3D .0614]

Except for, as applicable, monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), the permittee shall conduct all monitoring in continuous operation (or shall collect data at all required intervals) at all times that the pollutant-specific emissions unit is operating. Data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities shall not be used for purposes of this part, including data averages and calculations, or fulfilling a minimum data availability requirement, if applicable. The permittee shall use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system. A monitoring malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring to provide valid data. Monitoring failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

2.49 CAM - Response to Excursions or Exceedances <40 CFR 64.7(d)> [Rule 3D .0614]

Upon detecting an excursion or exceedance, the permittee shall restore operation of the pollutant-specific emissions unit (including the control device and associated capture system) to its normal or usual manner of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. The response shall include minimizing the period of any startup, shutdown or malfunction and taking any necessary corrective actions to restore normal operation and prevent the likely recurrence of the cause of an excursion or exceedance (other than those caused by excused startup or shutdown conditions). Such actions may include initial inspection and evaluation, recording that operations returned to normal without operator action (such as through response by a computerized distribution control system), or any necessary follow-up actions to return operation to within the indicator range, designed condition, or below the applicable emissions limitation or standard, as applicable.

Determination of whether the permittee has used acceptable procedures in response to an excursion or exceedance will be based on information available, which may include but is not limited to, monitoring results, review of operation and maintenance procedures and records, and inspection of the control device, associated capture system, and the process. Based on the results of this determination, this Office may require the permittee to develop and implement a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). The elements of a QIP are identified in 40 CFR 64.8(b).

2.50 CAM - Documentation of Need for Improved Monitoring <40 CFR 64.7(e)> [Rule 3D .0614]

After approval of the CAM plan, if the permittee identifies a failure to achieve compliance with an emission limitation or standard for which the approved monitoring did not provide an indication of an excursion or exceedance while providing valid data, or the results of compliance or performance testing document a need to modify the existing indicator ranges or designated conditions, the permittee shall promptly notify this Office and, if necessary, submit a proposed modification to this permit to address the necessary monitoring changes. Such a modification may include, but is not limited to, reestablishing indicator ranges or designated conditions, modifying the frequency of conduction monitoring and collecting data, or the monitoring of additional parameters.

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories (NESHAP) General Conditions - [Rule 3D .1111]

Following are conditions found in the 40 CFR Part 63 NESHAP General Provisions. The following conditions only apply to sources subject to a relevant standard of a subpart of 40 CFR Part 63 except when otherwise specified in a particular subpart or in a relevant standard.

2.51 NESHAP - General Provisions <40 CFR 63 Subpart A> [Rule 3D .1111]

The permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements specified in the general provisions of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories (40 CFR 63 Subpart A) including but not limited to requirements concerning notifications, testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, modifications, construction, and reconstruction.

2.52 NESHAP - Circumvention <40 CFR 63.4(b)> [Rule 3D .1111]

The permittee shall not build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment or process to conceal an emission that would otherwise constitute noncompliance with a relevant standard. Such concealment includes, but is not limited to, the use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with a relevant standard based on the concentration of a pollutant in the effluent discharged to the atmosphere, the use of diluents to achieve compliance with a relevant standard for visible emissions, and the fragmentation of an operation such that the operation avoids regulation by a relevant standard.

2.53 NESHAP - Maintain Records <40 CFR 63.10(b)(2)> [Rule 3D .1111]

For affected sources, the permittee shall maintain relevant records of:

- A. the occurrence and duration of each startup, shutdown, or malfunction of operation;
- B. the occurrence and duration of each malfunction of the air pollution control equipment;
- C. all maintenance performed on the air pollution control equipment;
- D. actions taken during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction;
- E. all information necessary to demonstrate compliance with the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan when all actions taken are consistent with the procedures specified in the plan;
- F. each period during which a CMS is malfunctioning or inoperative;
- G. all required measurement needed to demonstrate compliance with a relevant standard;
- H. all results of performance tests, CMS performance evaluations, and opacity and visible emission observations;
- I. all measurements as may be necessary to determine the conditions of performance tests and performance evaluations;
- J. all CMS calibration checks;
- K. all adjustments and maintenance performed on CMS;
- L. any information demonstrating whether a source is meeting the requirements for a waiver of recordkeeping or reporting requirements if the source has been granted a waiver under 40 CFR 63.10(f);
- M. all emission levels relative to the criterion for obtaining permission to use an alternative to the relative accuracy test if the source has been granted such permission under 40 CFR 63.8(f)(6); and,
- N. all documentation supporting initial notifications and notifications of compliance status under 40 CFR 63.9.

2.54 NESHAP - Files Available for Inspection <40 CFR 63.10(b)(1)> [Rule 3D .1111]

The permittee shall maintain files of all information required by 40 CFR Part 63 recorded in a form suitable and readily available for expeditious inspection and review. The files shall be retained for at least five years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record. At a minimum, the most recent two years of data shall be retained on site. The remaining three years of data may be retained off site.

2.55 NESHAP - Performance Testing Facilities Provided by Permittee

<40 CFR 63.7(d)> [Rule 3D .1111]

For any performance testing for each new source and, at the request of the Director, for each existing source, the permittee shall provide performance testing facilities as follows:

- A. Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to the affected source.
This includes:
 - 1. Constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures; and
 - 2. Providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures.
- B. Safe sampling platform(s).
- C. Safe access to sampling platform(s).
- D. Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.
- E. Any other facilities that the Director deems necessary for safe and adequate testing of a source.
- F. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable subpart, each performance test shall be conducted according to the requirements in 40 CFR 63.7.

SECTION 3 SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

The emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed below are subject to the following specific terms, conditions, and limitations, including the monitoring recordkeeping, and reporting requirements to which those requirements apply:

3.1 Facility-Wide Emission Source Conditions

A. Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) [Rule 3D .0530]

1. Best Available Control Technology for Volatile Organic Compounds

The permittee shall not use ethyl alcohol as a vehicle for introducing flavoring agents into tobacco except for limited use (trace amounts) at ES-15 and for use in the production of former Lorillard cigarette brands. This work practice standard has been determined to be Best Available Control Technology for emissions of volatile organic compounds at this facility.

2. Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the ethyl alcohol use limitations described above.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. [Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]

3. Testing [Rule 3D .0501(b)]

If emissions testing is required by this Office or the U.S. EPA, or the permittee submits emissions testing to this Office in support of a permit application, the permittee shall perform such testing in accordance with the appropriate U.S. EPA reference method(s) as approved by this Office. The permittee may request approval from this Office for an alternate test method or procedure in writing.

B. Limitation to Avoid Being Major for Hazardous Air Pollutants [Rule 3D .1111, 3Q .0317(a)(5)]

In order to remain classified as an area source for hazardous air pollutants under Rule 3D .1111 and thereby avoid regulatory requirements of future NESHAP regulations, the facility must comply with the following:

1. Emission Limits -

(a) Total HAP emissions from the facility shall not exceed 25 tons for any 12-month period.

(b) Total vinyl acetate emissions from the facility shall not exceed 10 tons for any 12-month period.

2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

Compliance with the limit specified in condition 3.1(B)(1) shall be demonstrated by the following:

- (a) the permittee shall maintain monthly records of all fuel and product throughputs necessary to calculate total HAP and vinyl acetate emissions using the formulas in Sections (b) and (c) below; and,
- (b) total vinyl acetate emissions shall be calculated at the end of each month for the previous 12-month period using the following formula:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \sum_{j=1}^n W_j * C_j$$

E = 12-MONTH VINYL ACETATE EMISSIONS (POUNDS).
 W_j = MONTHLY USAGE IN POUNDS FOR GLUE j.
 C_j = VINYL ACETATE WEIGHT CONTENT IN GLUE j.
 i = MONTH 1 THROUGH 12.

- (c) If the vinyl acetate emissions exceed 8 tons/year on any monthly calculation, the 12-month total HAP emissions must be calculated for the same 12-month period using the following formula:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{12} 0.021 * P1i + 0.012 * P2i + 0.039 * P14i + 0.040 * P15i + 0.067 * P21i + 0.00184 * PNGi + 0.14 * PFOi + 1660 + Vi$$

E = 12-MONTH TOTAL HAP EMISSIONS (POUNDS).
 P1(i) = MONTHLY PRODUCT THROUGHPUT (TONS) FOR ES-01 IN MONTH i.
 P2(i) = MONTHLY PRODUCT THROUGHPUT (TONS) FOR ES-02 IN MONTH i.
 P14(i) = MONTHLY PRODUCT THROUGHPUT (TONS) FOR ES-14 IN MONTH i.
 P15(i) = MONTHLY PRODUCT THROUGHPUT (TONS) FOR ES-15 IN MONTH i.
 P21(i) = MONTHLY PRODUCT THROUGHPUT (TONS) FOR ES-21 IN MONTH i.
 PNG(i) = MONTHLY NATURAL GAS USAGES (MMBTU) FOR ES-854-8-(1,2,3) IN MONTH i.
 PFO(i) = MONTHLY #2 FUEL OIL USAGES (1000 GALLONS) FOR ES-854-8-(1,2,3) IN MONTH i.
 1660 = THE POTENTIAL HAP EMISSIONS (lbs) FROM ES-854-8-4, ES-(18,19,F17)-851-1 and ES-(1-3, 13)-851-9 (EXCLUDING VINYL ACETATE).
 Vi = MONTHLY VINYL ACETATE EMISSIONS (POUNDS) CALCULATED MONTHLY FOR ES-(18, 19, F16)-851-1 and ES-(5, 11)-851-9 IN SECTION 3.1(B)(2)(b) ABOVE.
 i = MONTHS 1 THROUGH 12.

3. **Reporting - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

The permittee shall submit a semiannual report to this Office containing the following information:

- (a) total vinyl acetate emissions (tons) emitted each month and for each 12-month period ending on each month using the formula in Section 3.1(B)(2)(b) above; and,
- (b) if the vinyl acetate emissions exceed 8 tons for any 12-month period, the monthly and total 12-month emissions must be reported for the same 12-month period using the formula in Section 3.1(B)(2)(c) above.
- (c) The report shall be received by this Office by July 30th for the previous months of January through June, and by January 30th for the previous months of July through December.

3.2 Source Specific Emission Limits

A. ES-1-851-1 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration [Rule 3D .0530 and 3Q .0317]

1. Standard/Operation requirements for particulate matter and VOCs for ES-1 (851-1)

Annual VOC emissions shall not exceed 40 tons and PM annual emissions shall not exceed 25 tons. Compliance with these emission limits are demonstrated by limiting the throughput. Combined throughput rates shall not exceed 216,705 tons of tobacco (dry weight) per monthly rolling 12-month total in order to remain below the significant levels established for exemption from further regulation under Prevention of Significant Deterioration for particulate matter and VOC emissions.

2. Monitoring/Recordkeeping requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

The permittee shall maintain monthly and monthly rolling 12-month total records of tobacco throughput rates (dry weight) for ES-01. These records shall be maintained at the facility for a period of five years following the date of such record and shall be made available upon request to this Office.

3. Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

The permittee shall submit a report of the monitoring requirements to this Office by January 30th and July 30th for the preceding six-month period.

B. Building 851-9 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration [Rule 3D .0530 and 3Q .0317]

In order for the TV-29 modification to avoid PSD review the facility must comply with the following:

1. Emission Limits -

PM10 emissions from Building 851-9 shall not exceed 15 tons for any 12-month period.

2. Monitoring/Recordkeeping - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

Compliance with the limit specified in condition 3.1(B)(1) shall be demonstrated by the following:

- (a) the permittee shall maintain monthly records of all product throughputs necessary to calculate PM10 emissions using the following formula:

$$(1.59*A + 0.475*B + 0.0025*C + 0.0056*D + 4.3E-06*E + 0.01*F + 0.01*G) / 2000 = \text{PM10 monthly emissions in tons}$$

A = ES-1 monthly production in dry tons

B = ES-2 monthly production in dry tons

C = ES-4 monthly production in dry lbs

D = F-3 lbs tobacco processed

E = F-6 lbs filter tow processed

F = F-9 lbs tobacco processed

G = F-10 lbs tobacco processed

- (b) each month the 12-month total will be calculated
- (c) each 12-month total shall not exceed 8.3 tons of PM10 where
8.3 = 15 ton limit - potential PM10 emissions (6.7 tons) of ES-(3, 6-11, 13, F-7)

3. **Reporting - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

- (a) The permittee shall submit a semiannual report to this Office which includes the total PM10 emissions (tons) emitted each month and for each 12-month period.
- (b) The report shall be received by this Office by July 30th for the previous months of January through June, and by January 30th for the previous months of July through December.

C. **Building 851-9 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration [Rule 3D .0530 and 3Q .0317]**

In order for the TV-29 modification to avoid PSD review the facility must comply with the following:

1. **Emission Limits -**

VOC emissions from Building 851-9 shall not exceed 40 tons for any 12-month period.

2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

Compliance with the limit specified in condition 3.1(B)(1) shall be demonstrated by the following:

- (a) the permittee shall maintain monthly records of all product throughputs necessary to calculate VOC emissions using the following formula:

$$(2.32*A + 0.021*B + 8.47 \times 10^{-7} * C + 0.0305 * D + 1.96 * E + 0.001 * F + 0.015 * G + 4.3E-05 * H + I + 0.00123 * J + 0.00123 * K) / 2000 = \text{monthly VOC emissions (tons)}$$

A = ES-2 monthly production in dry tons

B = ES-4 monthly production in dry lbs.

C = ES-5 # of cigarettes produced in month

D = ES-12 monthly production in lbs.

E = F-2 lbs inks used in process

F = F-3 lbs tobacco processed

G = F-5 lbs tobacco processed

H = F-6 lbs filter tow processed

I = VOC use (lbs) in F-8 (R&D) labs

J = F-9 lbs tobacco processed

K = F-10 lbs tobacco processed

- (b) each month the 12-month total will be calculated

- (c) each 12-month total shall not exceed 31.4 tons of VOC where
 31.4 = 40 ton limit - potential VOC emissions (8.6 tons) of ES-(1, 3, 11)

3. **Reporting - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

- (a) The permittee shall submit a semiannual report to this Office which includes the total VOC emissions (tons) emitted each month and for each 12-month period.
- (b) The report shall be received by this Office by July 30th for the previous months of January through June, and by January 30th for the previous months of July through December.

D. **Building 851-9 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration [Rule 3D .0530 and 3Q .0317]**

In order for the TV-29 modification to avoid PSD review the facility must comply with the

1. **Emission Limits -**

PM emissions from Building 851-9 shall not exceed 25 tons for any 12-month period.

2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

Compliance with the limit specified in condition 3.1(B)(1) shall be demonstrated by the following:

- (a) the permittee shall maintain monthly records of all product throughputs necessary to calculate PM emissions using the following formula:

$$(1.99*A + 0.493*B + 0.0031*C + 0.007*D + 5.3E-06*E + 0.01*F + 0.01*G) / 2000 = \text{PM monthly emissions in tons}$$

A = ES-1 monthly production in dry tons

B = ES-2 monthly production in dry tons

C = ES-4 monthly production in dry lbs

D = F-3 lbs tobacco processed

E = F-6 lbs filter tow processed

F = F-9 lbs tobacco processed

G = F-10 lbs tobacco processed

- (b) each month the 12-month total will be calculated

- (c) each 12-month total shall not exceed 17.7 tons of PM where

$$17.7 = 25 \text{ ton limit} - \text{potential PM emissions (7.3 tons) of ES-(3, 6-11, 13, F-7)}$$

3. **Reporting - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]**

- (a) The permittee shall submit a semiannual report to this Office which includes the total PM emissions (tons) emitted each month and for each 12-month period.

- (b) The report shall be received by this Office by July 30th for the previous months of January through June, and by January 30th for the previous months of July through December.

3.3 Particulate Emission Limits

A. Particulates from Fuel Burning Indirect Heat Exchangers [Rule 3D .0503]

1. **Particulate allowable emission rate [Rule 3D .0503]** - Emissions of particulate matter from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed the allowable emission rate calculated by the equation $E = 1.09 * Q^{-0.2594}$; where E = allowable emission limit for particulate matter in lb/million Btu, and Q = maximum heat input in million Btu/hr of all fuel burning indirect heat exchangers, determined according to Rule 3D .0503(c).

Emission Source ID	Value of Q	Particulate Emission Limit (E)
ES-854-8-1	1256 million Btu/hr	0.17 lb/million Btu
ES-854-8-2	1256 million Btu/hr	0.17 lb/million Btu
ES-854-8-3	1256 million Btu/hr	0.17 lb/million Btu

2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - No monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting is required for the specific purpose of demonstrating compliance with the above standard because the fuels being combusted are natural gas and No. 2 fuel oil which inherently meet this standard. However, the permittee shall maintain the appropriate records for raw material usage and/or production rates in order to calculate the emissions data needed to fulfill the requirements for condition 2.13 entitled Annual Emission Inventory Requirements.

B. Particulates from Industrial Processes

1. **Control of Particulates from Miscellaneous Industrial Processes - [Rule 3D .0515]**

Emissions for particulate matter from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed the allowable emission rate calculated with the equation $E = 4.10(P)^{0.67}$ calculated to three significant figures for process rates up to 30 tons/hr, or with the equation $E = 55.0(P)^{0.11} - 40$ calculated to three significant figures for process rates greater than 30 tons/hr; where E equals the maximum allowable PM emission rate in lb/hr, and P equals the process rate in tons/hr. Accordingly, the potential emission rate from this equipment shall at no time exceed the emission rates based on maximum production.

2. **Control of Particulates from Processes Subject to BACT - [Rule 3D .0530]**

Total particulate matter emissions from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall be controlled by a properly operated and maintained fabric filters or wet scrubbers where such controls are present. This control strategy has been determined to be Best Available Control Technology.

3.4 Sulfur Dioxide Emission Limits

A. NSPS for Sulfur Dioxide [Rule 3D .0524]

1. **NSPS-Sulfur dioxide allowable emission rate [40 CFR 60.42c(d, i)] [Rule 3D .0524]** - Emissions of sulfur dioxide from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed 0.50 lbs. per million Btu heat input. Compliance with this standard shall be continuously demonstrated by combusting only natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 0.5% by weight, as certified by the fuel supplier for this facility. This standard and the fuel oil sulfur limit apply at all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.
2. **Monitoring [Rule 3Q .0308(a)(1)]** - Approved fuels for the boilers are natural gas and No. 2 fuel oil. Any change in fuel type for the boilers must receive prior approval from the Office of Environmental Assistance and Protection.
3. **Recordkeeping requirement [40 CFR 60.48c(f), (g) and (i)] [Rule 3D .0524]** - The permittee shall maintain the following records for a period of five years following the date of such record.
 - (a) For each shipment of No. 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall obtain and maintain a written statement from the fuel supplier that certifies that all the fuel oil included in the shipment complies with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specifications for No. 2 fuel oil. This written statement shall also include the name of the company supplying the fuel.
 - (b) The permittee shall record and maintain records of the amount of No. 2 fuel oil and the amount of natural gas combusted during the reporting period.
4. **Reporting requirement [40 CFR 60.48c(e), (g) and (j)] [Rule 3D .0524]** - The permittee shall submit a semiannual report to this Office no later than January 30th for the period July through December, and no later than July 30th for the period January through June. Each report shall include the following items:
 - (a) The calendar dates covered in the reporting period.
 - (b) The amount of fuel oil and the amount of natural gas combusted during the reporting period. If no fuel oil or natural gas was combusted during the reporting period, a written statement signed by the permittee certifying that fact shall be provided to satisfy this reporting requirement for the given fuel.
 - (c) If fuel oil was combusted during the reporting period, a written statement signed by the permittee certifying that all of the fuel oil combusted during the reporting period is represented by the fuel supplier certifications submitted for the current reporting period or by previously submitted fuel supplier certifications.

B. Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Combustion Sources [Rule 3D .0516]

1. **Standard [Rule 3D .0516]** - Emissions of sulfur dioxide from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed 2.3 lb/MMBtu input.
2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - No monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting is required for the specific purpose of demonstrating compliance with the above standard because the fuel being combusted is No. 2 fuel oil or natural gas. The maximum sulfur content of No. 2 fuel oil is 0.5 % by weight which ensures compliance with the sulfur dioxide standard. However, the permittee shall maintain the appropriate records for raw material usage and/or production rates in order to calculate the emissions data needed to fulfill the requirements for condition 2.13 entitled Annual Emission Inventory Requirements.

3.5 Control of Visible Emissions

A. Non-NSPS

1. **Standard [Rule 3D .0521(d)]** - Visible emissions from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed 20% opacity when averaged over a six-minute period with the following exceptions:
 - (a) No six-minute period exceeds 87% opacity;
 - (b) No more than one six-minute period exceeds 20% opacity in any hour; and
 - (c) No more than four six-minute periods exceed 20% opacity in any 24-hour period.
2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - No monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting is required for the specific purpose of demonstrating compliance with the above standard for all fuel combustion sources because the fuels being combusted are natural gas and No. 2 fuel oil which inherently meet this standard. However, the permittee shall maintain the appropriate records for raw material usage and/or production rates in order to calculate the emissions data needed to fulfill the requirements for condition 2.13 entitled Annual Emission Inventory Requirements.

B. NSPS Sources

1. **Standard [40 CFR 60.43c(c) (Subpart Dc)] [Rule 3D .0524]** - Visible emissions from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall not exceed 20% opacity when averaged over a six-minute period, except for one six-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity. This standard shall apply at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction.
2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - No monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting is required for the specific purpose of demonstrating compliance with the above standard for all fuel combustion sources because the fuels being combusted are natural gas and No. 2 fuel oil which inherently meet this standard. However, the permittee shall maintain the appropriate records for raw material usage and/or production rates in order to calculate the emissions data needed to fulfill the requirements for condition 2.13 entitled Annual Emission Inventory Requirements.
3. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting requirement [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - For all non-fuel burners, Section 3.6 satisfies this requirement.

3.6 PM - Periodic Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting

A. Non-CAM [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]

1. **Periodic monitoring for equipment controlled by fabric filters** - Particulate matter emissions from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall be controlled during all periods of operation. To ensure the optimum efficiency of the control devices, the permittee shall perform inspections and maintenance in a manner and frequency consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions. At a minimum, an annual internal inspection of the fabric filters' structural integrity and operation shall be performed.
2. **Periodic monitoring for equipment controlled by wet scrubbers** - Particulate matter emissions from emission sources designated in Section 1.1 shall be controlled during all periods of operation. To ensure that optimum control efficiency is maintained, the permittee shall perform inspections and preventative maintenance in a manner consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions. The inspection and maintenance requirement must include the following:
 - (a) an annual visual internal inspection of the wet scrubbers' structural integrity and operation;
 - (b) the permittee shall maintain and operate low water pressure switches for each wet scrubber and an interlock system that shuts the process down during a low-flow condition.
3. **Recordkeeping requirement** - A log shall be maintained on-site with the dates of inspection and maintenance activities, inspection results, and maintenance performed.
4. **Reporting requirement** - The permittee shall submit a summary report of the monitoring requirements to this Office by January 30th and July 30th for each preceding six-month period.

B. Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) [Rule 3D .0614, 40 CFR Part 64]

1. **Monitoring-Fabric Filter Inspection & Maintenance** - To ensure the optimum efficiency of the control devices as designated in Section 1.1, the permittee shall perform inspections and maintenance in a manner and frequency consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions. Inspection and maintenance must include the following:
 - (a) An annual visual internal inspection of the fabric filters' structural integrity and operation.
 - (b) Upon evidence of a problem, an investigation shall be initiated and maintenance activities, required to correct the problem, shall be scheduled and performed. The investigation and corrective action shall be conducted as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions.

- (c) Only trained maintenance personnel will perform inspection and maintenance.
- (d) An excursion shall be defined as failure to perform inspections and preventative maintenance on at least an annual basis or failure to perform repairs to correct abnormal occurrences in a timely manner.

2. **Monitoring-Fume Incinerator [Rule 3D .0614, 40 CFR Part 64]**

To ensure the optimum efficiency of the fume incinerator (CD-130) the permittee shall perform the following monitoring and recordkeeping activities:

- (a) all waste gas and particulate matter emissions resulting from the sublimation loop shall be vented to the fume incinerator at all times the process is in operation. At no time shall this waste stream bypass the incinerator except for periods of malfunction/breakdown; and
- (b) the incinerator combustion chamber shall operate at an air temperature of no less than 650 F and no more than 1750 F; and
- (c) the incinerator shall be equipped with a temperature gauge situated to monitor the air temperature in the combustion chamber. The temperature gauge shall be checked and calibrated as required and in accordance with the manufacturer's written instruction; and
- (d) the temperature shall be monitored continuously while the process is operating and averaged every 15 minutes to ensure proper combustion chamber operation. The temperature data shall be collected by the incinerator operating system and kept in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made available for inspection upon request by this Office; and
- (e) an excursion shall be defined as an incinerator combustion chamber temperature reading below 650 F or above 1750 F. Upon detection, the process shall be shut down and an investigation into the cause of the excursion shall be initiated; and
- (f) the cause of any excursion, results of the investigation and any corrective action taken, as well as other supporting information, shall be documented in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made available for inspection upon request by this Office. The log shall include the date of the investigation, the inspectors name and any corrective actions performed as a result of the investigation.

3. **Monitoring-Visual Stack Observations** - In order to demonstrate compliance with the CAM plan for control devices identified in Section 1.1, the permittee shall perform visual stack observations. As a minimum, the visual stack observation program shall include the following:

- (a) Visible emissions from each stack shall be monitored once per "operational day" for each plant operational day for the presence of visible emissions. An "operational day" begins at 8:00 AM and ends at 7:59 AM the following day.

- (b) The presence of any visible emissions shall trigger an investigation to determine the cause and, if applicable, corrective action. The investigation and corrective action shall be conducted as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions. The visual observation shall be repeated as soon as practicable after the investigation and completion of any corrective action to verify that the visible emissions are no longer present. If the visible emissions are present after the investigation and corrective action has been taken, the emissions shall be considered an excursion.
 - (c) Observers shall receive on-the-job training pertaining to visual observations and what constitutes an excursion.
4. **Recordkeeping** - Records of the monitoring required under 3.6(B)(1,2,3, and 4) shall be maintained on-site, made available to Office personnel, that include the following:
- (a) Maintenance of fabric filters - dates of inspections and maintenance activities; results of investigations and corrective actions taken; names of persons conducting activities; records of employee on-the-job training for inspection and maintenance.
 - (b) Maintenance of fume incinerator- the cause of any excursion; results of the investigation and any corrective action taken; the date of any investigation; the inspectors name; any corrective actions performed as a result of the investigation.
 - (c) Visual observations - date/time of each observation; person performing observation; results of observation (visible emissions present or absent); results of investigation and corrective action if visible emissions are present; records of employee on-the-jib training for visual observations.
5. **Reporting requirement** - The permittee shall submit a summary report of all monitoring requirements in this section to this Office by January 30th and July 30th for each preceding six-month period.

3.7 Work Practices for Sources of Volatile Organic Compounds [Rule 3D .0958]

- A. **Work practice standards [Rule 3D .0958(c) and 3Q .0508(aa)]** - For equipment designated in Section 1.1 the permittee shall:
1. store all material, including waste material, containing volatile organic compounds in containers covered with a tightly fitting lid that is free of cracks, holes, or other defects, when not in use,
 2. clean up spills as soon as possible following proper safety procedures,
 3. store wipe rags in closed containers,
 4. not clean sponges, fabric, wood, paper products, and other absorbent materials, unless volatile organic compound emissions are captured and controlled,
 5. drain solvents used to clean supply lines and other coating equipment into containers designed for closure, and close containers immediately after each use,
 6. clean mixing, blending, and manufacturing vats and containers by adding cleaning solvent, closing the vat or container before agitating the cleaning solvent. The spent cleaning solvent shall then be poured into a closed container.
- B. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping requirements [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - To ensure compliance with the work practice standards specified in condition 3.7(A) the permittee shall perform weekly inspections at each affected emissions source to verify compliance with the work practices and identify any deviations. The results of the inspections and any deviations shall be recorded in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made readily available upon request by a representative of this Office. The log shall contain the following:
1. the date and time of each inspection;
 2. the results of each inspection; and
 3. all deviations from required work practice standards and the corrective actions taken.
- C. **Alternative VOC work practice monitoring/recordkeeping requirements for ES-(18, 19, F-13, F-16)-851-1 and ES-(5, 6)-851-9 [Rule 3D .0958(c) and 3Q .0508(aa)]** - The permittee may perform documented annual employee training as an alternative monitoring/recordkeeping compliance method for the work practice requirements specified in condition 3.7(A). To ensure compliance with this requirement the permittee shall:
1. train all personnel involved in operation of the above equipment, at least annually, in accordance with the reasons, procedures and importance of VOC work practice methods. All personnel shall be trained prior to being involved in the operation; and
 2. maintain records on site demonstrating that the annual training program is in place. These records shall be made available for inspection upon request by this Office and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) an up-to-date list of personnel involved in operation of the above equipment and documentation of successful completion of both initial and annual training including dates of the training sessions; and,
 - (b) an outline of the subjects covered in the initial and annual training for each group of personnel.
- D. **Reporting requirements [Rule 3D .0508(f)(2)]** - The permittee shall submit a summary report of the monitoring requirements specified in condition 3.7(B) and (C) to this Office by January 30th and July 30th for each preceding six-month period. This report shall contain the total number of weeks in which the work practice standards weekly inspection was not made during the reporting period. The report shall also include which monitoring/recordkeeping method was selected during the reporting period to demonstrate compliance with condition 3.7(A) and the date of a switch being made from one compliance method to the other.

3.8 National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ)

Specific emission source permit conditions for ES-854-8-4:

(Emergency Generator, 3,210 HP, Diesel-fired, 19.92 mmBtu/hr)

FCAQTC Rule 3D .1111 "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" - For **ES-854-8-4**, the permittee shall comply with all applicable provisions, including the maintenance and recordkeeping requirements contained in FCAQTC Rule 3D .1111, as promulgated in 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE)", including Subpart A "General Provisions." The permittee shall comply with the definition of emergency stationary RICE in 40 CFR 63.6675 and the following stationary RICE provisions. **<40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ> [Rule 3D .1111]**

- A. **Maintenance and Work Practices** – Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.6603(a), 63.6625(e), (f), and (h) and 63.6640(f) the permittee shall comply with the following:
1. Change the oil and filter every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first. The permittee has the option to utilize an oil analysis program as provided in 40 CFR 63.6625(i) in order to extend the specified oil change requirement.
 2. Inspect the air cleaner every 1,000 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary.
 3. Inspect all hoses and belts every 500 hours of operation or annually, whichever comes first, and replace as necessary.
 4. Operate and maintain the engine and control device (if any) according to the manufacturer's emission related written instructions or maintenance plan developed by the permittee that minimizes emissions from the engine to the extent practicable.
 5. Install a non-resettable hour meter if one is not already installed.
 6. Minimize the engine's time spent at idle during startup and minimize the engine's startup time to a period needed for appropriate and safe loading of the engine, not to exceed 30 minutes, after which time the non-startup emission limitations apply.
 7. If the engine is operating during an emergency and it is not possible to shut down the engine in order to perform the management practice requirements on the schedules required in Sections A.1. through 3., above, or if performing the management practice on the required schedules would otherwise pose an unacceptable risk under federal, state or local law, the management practices can be delayed until the emergency is over or the unacceptable risk under federal, state, or local law has abated. The management practice shall be performed as soon as possible after the emergency has ended or the unacceptable risk has abated. The Permittee shall report any failure to perform the management practice on the schedule required and the federal, state, or local law under which the risk was deemed unacceptable.

8. At all times the permittee shall operate and maintain any affected source, including associated air pollution control equipment and monitoring equipment, in a manner consistent with safety and good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. The general duty to minimize emissions does not require you to make any further efforts to reduce emissions if levels required by this standard have been achieved. Determination of whether such operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to this Office which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, review of operation and maintenance procedures, review of operation and maintenance records, and inspection of the source.
- B. **Operation** – The permittee shall operate the emergency generator in accordance with 40 CFR 63. 6640(f), and the following conditions. If the permittee fails to operate the emergency generator according to these requirements, the emergency generator will not be considered an emergency engine and must meet all requirements for non-emergency engines.
1. There is no time limit on the use of the emergency generator in emergency situations.
 2. The permittee may operate the engine for any combination of the purposes specified in Sections B.1.a. through b. below for a maximum of 100 hours per calendar year.
 - a. The engine may be operated for maintenance checks and readiness testing, provided that the tests are recommended by federal, state or local government, the manufacturer, the vendor, the regional transmission authority or equivalent balancing authority and transmission operator, or the insurance company associated with the engine. The permittee may petition the Director for approval of additional hours to be used for maintenance checks and readiness testing, but a petition is not required if the permittee maintains records indicating that federal, state, or local standards require maintenance and testing of the engine beyond 100 hours per calendar year.
 - b. The engine may operate for emergency demand response for periods in which the Reliability Coordinator under the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard EOP-002-3, Capacity and Energy Emergency or other authorized entity as determined by the Reliability Coordinator has declared an Energy Emergency Alert Level 2 as defined in the NERC Reliability Standard EOP– 002–3.
 - c. The engine may be operated for periods where there is a deviation of voltage or frequency of 5 percent or greater below standard voltage or frequency.

3. Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.6640(f)(4), the engine may be operated for up to 50 hours per calendar year in non-emergency situations. The 50 hours of operation in non-emergency situations are counted as part of the 100 hours per calendar year for maintenance and testing and emergency demand response provided in Section B.2. Except as provided in Sections a. and b. below, the 50 hours per year for non-emergency situations cannot be used for peak shaving or non-emergency demand response, or to generate income for the facility to supply power to an electric grid or otherwise supply power as part of a financial arrangement with another entity.
 - a. Prior to May 3, 2014, the 50 hours per year for non-emergency situations can be used for peak shaving or non-emergency demand response to generate income for the facility, or to otherwise supply power as part of a financial arrangement with another entity if the engine is operated as part of a peak shaving (load management program) with the local distribution system operator and the power is provided only to the facility itself or to support the local distribution system.
 - b. The 50 hours per year for non-emergency situations can be used to supply power as part of a financial arrangement with another entity if ALL of the following conditions are met:
 - i. The engine is dispatched by the local balancing authority or local transmission or distribution system operator.
 - ii. The dispatch is intended to mitigate local transmission and/or distribution limitations so as to avert potential voltage collapse or line overloads that could lead to the interruption of power supply in a local area or region.
 - iii. The dispatch follows reliability, emergency operation or similar protocols that follow specific NERC, regional, state, public utility commission or local standards or guidelines.
 - iv. The power is provided only to the facility itself or to support the local transmission and distribution system.
 - v. The owner or operator identifies and records the entity that dispatches the engine and the specific NERC, regional, state, public utility commission or local standards or guidelines that are being followed for dispatching the engine. The local balancing authority or local transmission and distribution system operator may keep these records on behalf of the engine owner or operator.

4. At all times the permittee shall operate and maintain any affected source, including associated air pollution control equipment and monitoring equipment, in a manner consistent with safety and good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. The general duty to minimize emissions does not require you to make any further efforts to reduce emissions if levels required by this standard have been achieved. Determination of whether such operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to this Office which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, review of operation and maintenance procedures, review of operation and maintenance records, and inspection of the source.

- C. **Fuel Requirements** – Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.6604(b), beginning January 1, 2015, an emergency engine that operates for the purposes specified in Section B.3.b. above or operates or is contractually obligated to be available for more than 15 hours per calendar year for the purposes specified in Sections B.2.b. or c. shall use diesel fuel that meets the requirements in 40 CFR 80.510(b) for nonroad diesel fuel. Any existing diesel fuel purchased prior to January 1, 2015 may be used until depleted. The diesel fuel requirements of 40 CFR 80.510(b) are shown below:

Sulfur content	15 ppm maximum.
Cetane index or Aromatic content	A minimum cetane index of 40; or A maximum aromatic content of 35 volume percent.

- D. **Recordkeeping** – Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.6655(d), (e) and (f), the permittee shall keep records for at least five (5) years showing:
1. The engine was operated and maintained according to the manufacturer's emission related operation and maintenance instructions or the permittee's maintenance plan which must provide for the maintenance and operation of the engine in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions.
 2. If applicable, the parameters that are analyzed as part of the oil analysis program, the results of the analysis, and the oil changes for the engine.
 3. The hours of operation of the engine that is recorded through the non-resettable hour meter. The permittee shall document how many hours are spent for emergency operation; including what classified the operation as emergency and how many hours are spent for non-emergency operation. If the engine is used for purposes specified in Sections B.2.b. or c., or B.3.b. above, then the permittee shall keep records of the notification of the emergency situation, and the date, start time and end time of the engine operation for these purposes.

- E. **Reporting** – Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.6650(h), if the engine operates for the purposes specified in Section B.3.b. above, or operates or is contractually obligated to be available for more than 15 hours per calendar year for the purposes specified in Sections B.2.b. or c. above, the permittee shall submit an annual report to this Office. The first annual report shall be submitted no later than March 31, 2016 and cover calendar year 2015. Subsequent annual reports shall be submitted by March 31 of each year and cover the previous calendar year.

The annual report must also be submitted electronically to EPA through the specific NESHAP Subpart ZZZZ reporting form in the Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI) that is accessed through EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX). However, if the reporting form specific to NESHAP Subpart ZZZZ is not available in CEDRI at the time that the report is due, the written report shall be submitted to EPA at the appropriate address listed in 40 CFR 63.13.

EPA Region IV
Director, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division
Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, GA 30303-3104

The annual report shall contain the following information:

1. Company name and address where the engine is located.
2. Date of the report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.
3. Engine site rating and model year for each engine.
4. Latitude and longitude of the engine in decimal degrees reported to the fifth decimal place.
5. Hours operated for the purposes specified in Sections B.2.b or c. above, including the date, start time, and end time for engine operation.
6. Number of hours the engine is contractually obligated to be available for the purposes specified in Sections B.2.b or c. above.
7. Hours spent for operation for the purpose specified in Section B.3.b. above including the date, start time, and end time for engine operation. The report must also identify the entity that dispatched the engine and the situation that necessitated the dispatch of the engine.
8. If there were no deviations from the fuel requirements in Section C. above that apply to the engine (if any), a statement that there were no deviations from the fuel requirements during the reporting period.
9. If there were deviations from the fuel requirements in Section C. that apply to the engine (if any), information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations, and the corrective action taken.

3.9 Specific emission source permit condition for the following three boilers:

ES-854-8-1: Tobaccoville Boiler #5

ES-854-8-2: Tobaccoville Boiler #6

ES-854-8-3: Tobaccoville Boiler #7

Limitation on the use of No. 2 fuel oil - Except as provided in condition **3.10**, to avoid the applicability of 3D .1111, 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJJ, the permittee shall not combust No. 2 fuel oil except during periodic testing not to exceed 48 hours per calendar year per boiler, gas supply emergencies, or periods of gas curtailment pursuant to a contract with the natural gas supplier. For each boiler, the permittee shall maintain records of the dates No. 2 fuel oil is combusted, the amount of No. 2 fuel oil combusted on each date, and the purpose for combusting No. 2 fuel oil on each date. **[Rules 3Q .0308(a)(1) and .0317]**

3.10 National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources (Subpart JJJJJJ)

Specific emission source permit conditions for the following three boilers:

- ES-854-8-1: Tobaccoville Boiler #5
- ES-854-8-2: Tobaccoville Boiler #6
- ES-854-8-3: Tobaccoville Boiler #7

Upon start-up for a boiler with No. 2 fuel oil usage beyond the limitations in condition **3.9**, for that boiler the permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJJ, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources, including the applicable requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, General Provisions as specified in Table 8 to Subpart JJJJJJ. **<40 CFR 63, Subpart JJJJJJ> [Rule 3D .1111]**

- A. **Notification requirement** - Within 30 days after becoming subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ, the permittee shall notify this Office of the change. The notification must identify:
1. The name of the owner or operator of the affected source, the location of the source, the boiler(s) that have switched fuels, were physically changed, or took a permit limit, and the date of the notice.
 2. The date upon which the fuel switch, physical change, or permit limit occurred.
- The permittee shall demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ within 180 days after becoming subject to this rule.
- B. **Tune-up requirements** - As required under 40 CFR 63.11214(b), the permittee shall conduct an initial boiler tune-up according to the requirements in 40 CFR 63.11223(b) no later than March 21, 2014 or 180 days after becoming subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ, whichever is later. Subsequent biennial tune-ups shall be conducted no more than 25 months after the previous tune-up. If the unit is not operating on the required date for a tune-up, the tune-up must be conducted within 30 days of startup.
- C. **Energy assessment requirement** - As required under 40 CFR 63.11214(c), the permittee shall conduct a one-time energy assessment no later than March 21, 2014 or 180 days after becoming subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ, whichever is later. The energy assessment must be performed by a qualified energy assessor according to the requirements in Table 2 to Subpart JJJJJJ of Part 63. An energy assessment completed on or after January 1, 2008, that meets or is amended to meet the energy assessment requirements in this section satisfies the energy assessment requirement.
- D. **Biennial compliance certification report** - The permittee shall prepare a biennial compliance report as required under 40 CFR 63.11225(b). The first report shall be prepared March 1, 2015 or by March 1 of the year following the initial tune-up required in condition **3.10.B**, whichever is later. Subsequent reports shall be prepared March 1st of every other year. The report shall include the following information:

1. Company name and address.
2. Statement by a responsible official, with the official's name, title, phone number, e-mail address, and signature, certifying the truth, accuracy and completeness of the notification and a statement of whether the source has complied with all the relevant standards and other requirements of this subpart.
3. If the source experiences any deviations from the applicable requirements during the reporting period, include a description of deviations, the time periods during which the deviations occurred, and the corrective actions taken.

The permittee shall submit the report to this Office if requested by this Office, or no later than March 15 of the reporting year if any deviations from the applicable requirements occurred during the reporting period.

E. Recordkeeping requirements - The permittee shall maintain the following records:

1. Copies of all required notifications and reports submitted to comply with this subpart and all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status as required under 40 CFR 63.10(b)(2)(xiv).
2. Records of tune-ups required in condition **3.10.B** and 40 CFR 63.11214(b) identifying each boiler, the date of tune-up, the procedures followed for tune-up, and the manufacturer's specifications to which the boiler was tuned as required under 40 CFR 63.11225(c)(2)(i).
3. A copy of the energy assessment required in condition **3.10.C** and 40 CFR 63.11214(c).
4. Records of the occurrence and duration of each malfunction of the boiler, or of the associated air pollution control and monitoring equipment as required under 40 CFR 63.11225(c)(4).
5. Records of actions taken during periods of malfunction to minimize emissions in accordance with the general duty to minimize emissions in 40 CFR 63.11205(a) as required under 40 CFR 63.11225(c)(5), including corrective actions to restore the malfunctioning boiler, air pollution control, or monitoring equipment to its normal or usual manner of operation.

Records must be in a form suitable and readily available for expeditious review, according to 40 CFR 63.10(b)(1). As specified in 40 CFR 63.10(b)(1), each record must be kept for 5 years following the date of each recorded action. Records must be kept onsite for at least 2 years after the date of each recorded action and may be kept off site for the remaining 3 years.

F. Reporting requirements - The permittee shall submit the following reports:

1. Initial Notification according to the requirements of 40 CFR 63.9(b) and 40 CFR 63.11225(a)(2) no later than January 20, 2014 or within 120 days after becoming subject to 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ, whichever is later.

2. Notification of Compliance Status according to the requirements of 40 CFR 63.9(h) and 40 CFR 63.11225(a)(4) for the initial tune-up required in condition **3.10.B** and 40 CFR 63.11214(b) no later than July 19, 2014 or 120 days after the applicable compliance date, whichever is later. The notification must also be submitted electronically using the Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI) that is accessed through EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX) (www.epa.gov/cdx). However, if the reporting form specific to this subpart is not available in CEDRI at the time that the report is due, the written Notification of Compliance Status must be submitted to the Administrator at the appropriate address listed in 40 CFR 63.13 and to this Office.
3. Notification of Compliance Status according to the requirements of 40 CFR 63.9(h) and 40 CFR 63.11225(a)(2) for the energy assessment required in condition **3.10.C** and 40 CFR 63.11214(c) no later than July 19, 2014 or 120 days after the applicable compliance date, whichever is later.
4. The Biennial Compliance report required in condition **3.10.D** and 40 CFR 63.11225(b) if any deviations from the applicable requirements occurred during the reporting period no later than March 15 of the reporting year.

SECTION 4 CONTROL OF TOXIC AIR POLLUTANTS - LOCALLY ENFORCEABLE ONLY

The entire facility is subject to Subchapter 3D .1100 of the FCAQTC for the toxic air pollutants listed. This section is locally enforceable only. All the emission sources and their associated air pollution control device(s) are subject to the following specific terms, conditions, and limitations, including the monitoring recordkeeping, and reporting requirements to which those requirements apply.

4.1. Facility-Wide Toxic Air Pollutant Conditions

A. Permit Requirements for Toxic Air Pollutants and Control of Toxic Air Pollutants [Section 3D .1100]

1. **Other and Future air toxic requirements** - Specification of a listed toxic air pollutant (TAP) in this permit does not excuse the permittee from complying with the requirements of Sections 3D .1100 and 3Q .0700 of the FCAQTC with regard to any other listed TAP emitted from the regulated facility, nor does this permit exempt the permittee from compliance with any future air toxic regulations promulgated pursuant to the requirements of the Clean Air Act. [Sections 3D. 1100 and 3Q. 0700]
2. **De minimis limits** - Total facility-wide emissions of the following pollutants shall not exceed their respective de minimis emissions limits as shown in Rule 3Q .0711 unless a modeling demonstration is first approved by this Office which shows that the emissions of the subject TAPs from the facility will not adversely affect human health. This demonstration shall be in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3D .1100 and 3Q. 0700 of the FCAQTC. This demonstration must be made with an up-to-date version of a U.S. EPA approved computer model or, upon approval by this Office, calculated using the results of a previous modeling analysis showing compliance with the acceptable ambient levels for the pollutants listed below. [Section 3Q .0700]

Pollutant (CAS Number)	De minimis level
acetaldehyde (75-07-0)	6.8 lb/hr
1,3-butadiene (106-99-0)	12 lb/yr
carbon disulfide (75-15-0)	3.9 lb/day
chloroform (67-66-3)	290 lb/yr
cresol (1319-77-3)	0.56 lb/hr
1,4-dioxane (123-91-1)	12 lb/day
methyl ethyl ketone (78-93-3)	78 lb/day and 22.4 lb/hr
phenol (108-95-2)	0.24 lb/hr
styrene (100-42-5)	2.7 lb/hr
toluene (108-88-3)	98 lb/day and 14.4 lb/hr
trichlorofluoromethane (75-69-4)	140 lb/hr
xylene (1330-20-7)	57 lb/day and 16.4 lb/hr

3. **Dispersion modeling emission limits** - Combined emissions of the following TAPs from all sources not exempted by 3Q .0702(a) and (b) at this facility shall not exceed the emission rates listed below. Dispersion modeling (using AERMOD - version 04300, performed in March, 2006 and using AEROMOD - version 09292, performed in March, 2011) and approved by this Office, demonstrated that the permitted emissions of the TAPs listed in the table below from this facility impacted the surrounding ambient air at levels below the acceptable ambient levels (AALs) specified in Rule 3D .1104 of the FCAQTC. The emission rates listed below shall be used as a basis for certifying that any future modifications or changes in the methods of operation will result in ambient impacts below these AALs. In no case shall actual emissions resulting from changes or modifications exceed any of the following emission rates without first applying for and receiving a permit. [Section 3D .1100]

Pollutant (CAS Number)	Maximum facility-wide emission rate	Model Version - Date
Acetic acid (64-19-7)	60.30 lb/hr	09292 - April 2011
Acrolein (107-02-8)	0.36 lb/hr and 3169.23 lb/yr	09292 - April 2011
Ammonia (7664-41-7)	37.72 lb/hr	09292 - April 2011
Arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds	9.4 lb/yr	04300 - March 2006
Benzene (71-43-2)	1094.92 lb/yr	09292 - April 2011
Beryllium (7440-41-7)	7.1 lb/yr	04300 - March 2006
Cadmium (7440-43-9)	7.1 lb/yr	04300 - March 2006
Non-specific chromium (VI) compounds, as chromium (VI) equivalent	7.1 lb/yr	04300 - March 2006
Ethylene oxide (75-21-8)	131.75 lb/yr	09292 - April 2011
Fluorides	0.07 lb/hr and 1.7 lb/day	04300 - March 2006
Formaldehyde (50-00-0)	0.64 lb/hr	09292 - April 2011
Hydrogen chloride (7647-01-1)	0.19 lb/hr	04300 - March 2006
Mercury, aryl and inorganic compounds	0.019 lb/day	04300 - March 2006
Nickel, soluble compounds, as nickel	0.019 lb/day	04300 - March 2006

4. **Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement** -The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rates specified in permit conditions 4.1(A)(2) and (3). At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate monthly averaged emission rates (in pounds per hour of emission source operation) for TAPs with 1-hour or 24-hour emission limits and yearly emission rates (in pounds per calendar year) for TAPs with annual emission limits.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of two years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. [Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]

PART II

AIR QUALITY CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

The permittee is hereby authorized to construct air emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed in Part II, Section 1 of this permit, in accordance with the associated air quality permit application(s) received, including all plans, specifications, previous applications, and other supporting data, all of which are filed with this Office and are incorporated in Part II of this Air Quality Permit.

SECTION 1

PERMITTED EMISSION SOURCE(S) AND ASSOCIATED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE(S)

A. Process Modification Project Equipment to Manufacture Former Lorillard Cigarette Brands

Emission Source ID #	Emission Source Description	Control Device Description
Building 851-1		
ES-22-851-1 (TV-33 & TV-35)	New Conveyors for ES-1, ES-10, and ES-21	Fabric Filters: CD-X(3,4,6)-851-1
ES-23-851-1 (TV-33)	Ethanol-Based Top Dressing Materials	Thermal Incinerator: CD-X7-851-1
ES-19-851-1 (TV-33)	Cigarette Making	Fabric Filters: CD-(4,5,8,9,11,12,24,25,28, 29,31,32)-851-1; and CD-(1,2,3,6,7,10,21,22,23,26, 27,30,131)-851-1 (unmodified)
ES-18-851-1 (TV-33)	Filter Making	Fabric Filters: CD-(113-116)-851-1 (unmodified)
F-13-851-1 (TV-33 & TV-35)	Casing Preparation Area	N/A (Fugitive)
F-16-851-1 (TV-33)	Packing Equipment	N/A (Fugitive)
ES-14-851-1 (TV-35)	Ethanol Use in Existing Casing Drums	Wet Scrubbers: CD-(128-129)-851-1
ES-15-851-1 (TV-35)	Propylene Glycol Use in Existing Casing Drums and Hoods	Wet Scrubbers: CD-(119-122)-851-1
ES-15-851-1 (TV-35)	Propylene Glycol Use in Existing Steam Flotation Chambers, Dryers, Pneumatic Separators and Hoods	Wet Scrubbers: CD-(123-126)-851-1

B. Dust Collection Hood Pickup Point Addition Project (Building 851-1)

Emission Source ID #	Emission Source Description	Control Device Description
Building 851-1		
ES-9-852-1 (TV-34)	Processed Tobacco Conveying	Fabric Filters: CD-(71-74)-851-1
ES-21-851-1 (TV-34)	Tobacco Strip Conveying/Storage	Fabric Filters: CD-(69-70)-851-1

SECTION 2 GENERAL CONDITIONS

This section describes terms and conditions applicable to the construction of the air emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed in Part II Section 1. Unless otherwise specified herein all references to the "permit" in this section apply only to Part II of the permit.

A. General Provisions

1. This permit is nontransferable by the permittee. Future owners and operators must obtain a new air quality permit from this Office.
2. This issuance of this permit in no way absolves the permittee of liability for any potential civil penalties which may be assessed for violations of State law which have occurred prior to the issuance date of this permit.
3. A violation of any term or condition of Part II of this permit shall subject the permittee to enforcement pursuant to Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code, including assessment of civil and/or criminal penalties.

B. Submissions**(REPORTS, TEST DATA, MONITORING DATA, NOTIFICATIONS, AND REQUESTS FOR RENEWAL)**

Unless otherwise approved by this Office, two copies of all documents, reports, test data, monitoring data, notifications, request for renewal, and any other information required by this permit shall be submitted to this Office.

C. Part II Renewal Request

The permittee shall request renewal of the emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed in Part II Section 1 at the same time as specified in Part I, condition 2.26 of this permit.

D. Annual Fee Payment

The permittee shall pay all fees in accordance with Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code Subchapter 3Q .0200 and in conjunction with Part I, condition 2.12 of this permit.

E. Reporting Requirements

Any of the following that would result in new or increased emissions from the emission source(s) listed in Part II Section 1 must be reported to the Director:

1. changes in the information submitted in the application;
2. changes that modify equipment or processes; or
3. changes in the quantity or quality of materials processed.

If appropriate, modifications to the permit may then be made by this Office to reflect any necessary changes in the permit conditions. In no case are any new or increased emissions allowed that will cause a violation of the emission limitations specified herein.

F. Termination, Modification, and Revocation of the Permit

The Director may terminate, modify, or revoke and reissue this permit if:

1. the information contained in the application or presented in support thereof is determined to be incorrect;
2. the conditions under which the permit or permit renewal was granted have changed;
3. violations of conditions contained in the permit have occurred; or
4. the Director finds that termination, modification, or revocation and reissuance of the permit is necessary to carry out the purpose of Forsyth County Air Quality control Ordinance and Technical Code.

G. Inspection and Entry

Upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, the permittee shall allow this Office, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

1. enter the permittee's premises where the permitted facility is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records are kept under the conditions of the permit;
2. have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that are required to be kept under the conditions of the permit;
3. inspect at reasonable times and using reasonable safety practices any source, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under the permit; and
4. sample or monitor substances or parameters, using reasonable safety practices, for the purpose of assuring compliance with the permit or applicable requirements at reasonable times.

SECTION 3 SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

The air emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed in Part II, Section 1, Condition A are subject to the following specific terms, conditions, and limitations, including the monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements as specified herein:

- A. Any air emission sources or control devices authorized to construct in Part II, Section 1, Condition A must be constructed and maintained in accordance with the provisions contained herein. The permittee shall comply with applicable Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code regulations.
- B. The permittee shall operate the air emission sources and control devices listed in Part II, Section 1, Condition A in accordance with provisions contained in Part I of this permit. If a provision listed in Part II conflicts with a provision of Part I, the permittee shall comply with the provision listed in Part II.
- C. ***Process Modification Project (as described in the application) to manufacture former Lorillard cigarette brands at the Tobaccolville facility.***

ES-22-851-1: New Conveyors for ES-1, ES-10, and ES-21

Fabric Filters: CD-X(3,4,6)-851-1

ES-23-851-1: Ethanol-Based Top Dressing Materials

Thermal Incinerator: CD-X7-851-1

ES-19-851-1: Cigarette Making

Fabric Filters: CD-(4,5,8,9,11,12,24,25,28,29,31,32)-851-1

Fabric Filters: CD-(1,2,3,6,7,10,21,22,23,26,27,30,131)-851-1 (unmodified)

ES-18-851-1: Filter Making

Fabric Filters: CD-(113-116)-851-1 (unmodified)

F-13-851-1: Casing Preparation Area, (Fugitive)

F-16-851-1: Packing Equipment, (Fugitive)

ES-14-851-1: Ethanol Use in Existing Casing Drums

Wet Scrubbers: CD-(128-129)-851-1

ES-15-851-1: Propylene Glycol Use in Existing Casing Drums and Hoods

Wet Scrubbers: CD-(119-122)-851-1

ES-15-851-1: Propylene Glycol Use in Existing Steam Flotation Chambers, Dryers, Pneumatic Separators and Hoods

Wet Scrubbers: CD-(123-126)-851-1

1. **30-Day Notification From Initial Start-up** - The permittee shall notify this Office of the initial date on which any emission source that is part of this project begins operation within 30 days after such date. **[Rule 3A. 0103(a)]**

2. **Due Date for Title V Operating Permit Application** - The permittee shall submit a permit application in accordance with Section 3Q .0500 within 12 months of commencing operation of any emission source that is part of this project. **[Rule 3Q .0504(d)]**
3. **30-Day Notification From Start-up of Completed Project** - The permittee shall notify this Office of the actual start-up date of the completed project within 30 days after such date. This notification is to enable this Office to plan an inspection to verify compliance with any applicable standards. **[Rule 3A. 0103(a)]**
4. **Commencement of Construction** - If construction/modification of this equipment has not commenced by November 7, 2016 (18 months after the effective date of permit 00745-TV-33), or construction activities lapse for a period of 18 months after construction has commenced, the permittee shall reapply to this Office and obtain a permit to construct before commencing or resuming construction. **[Rule 3Q .0308(a)]**

D. **Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD). Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) [Rule 3D .0530]**

1. **New Conveyor Systems (Part of ES-22)** - The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled hourly VOC emission rate from the following conveyor systems to no more than:
 - 0.60 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-1,
 - 0.20 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-10, and
 - 0.05 lb/hr for the new conveyor system serving ES-21.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rates described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable hourly averaged uncontrolled VOC emission rates.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

2. **Manufacture of former Lorillard products using ethanol-based top dressing materials in the top dressing drums and downstream conveyors (ES-23)** - The permittee shall limit the VOC emission rate from this emission source to no more than 0.54 lb VOC per ton of wet tobacco and at least 98% destruction efficiency of the thermal incinerator. Compliance with these limits shall be based upon the 3-hour block average of the incinerator combustion chamber temperature.

The permittee shall control the VOC emissions by means of a thermal incinerator (CD-X7-851-1). To ensure the optimum efficiency of the thermal incinerator (CD-X7-851-1), the permittee shall perform the following operational, monitoring and recordkeeping activities:

- (a) the incinerator combustion chamber shall operate at an air temperature of no less than 1500 °F unless a revised minimum temperature has been established in accordance with condition 3(D)(3) below;
- (b) the incinerator shall be equipped with a temperature gauge to monitor the air temperature in the combustion chamber. The temperature gauge shall be checked and calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's written instruction;
- (c) the temperature shall be monitored continuously while any of the associated processes are operating and recorded on a 15-minute block average basis with four 15-minute block averages each hour to ensure proper combustion chamber operation. One-hour and 3-hour block averages of the incinerator combustion chamber temperature shall be calculated and recorded, based on the associated 15-minute block averages. The temperature data shall be collected by the incinerator operating system and kept in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made available for inspection upon request by this Office;
- (d) upon detection of a 1-hour block average combustion chamber temperature below the minimum established in condition 3(D)(2)(a), the process shall be shut down and an investigation into the cause of the low temperature shall be initiated;
- (e) the cause of any low 1-hour block average combustion chamber temperature event, results of the investigation and any corrective action taken, as well as other supporting information, shall be documented in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made available for inspection upon request by this Office. The log shall include the date of the investigation, the inspectors name and any corrective actions performed as a result of the investigation.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

3. **Initial Performance Testing** - The permittee shall conduct an initial performance test to verify that the VOC emission rate from ES-23 is no more than 0.54 lb VOC per ton of wet tobacco and that the thermal incinerator (CD-X7-851-1) achieves at least 98% destruction efficiency. This initial performance testing shall be performed within 180 days of the start-up of ES-23. This performance testing may be conducted with the thermal incinerator combustion chamber temperature operating at less than 1500 °F in order to demonstrate that the incinerator is capable of achieving the requirements of condition 3(D)(2) at the lower temperature. The testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section 3D .2600 of the FCAQTC. For the performance testing, the following conditions apply: [Rule 3D .0614 and Section 3D .2600]
- (a) **Obligation** – The permittee shall perform any required test at his own expense. [Rule 3D .2602(a)].
 - (b) **Means to allow sampling and measurement** – The permittee shall provide sampling ports, pipes, lines, or appurtenances for the collection of samples and data required by the test procedure; scaffolding and safe access to the sample and data collection locations; and light, electricity, and other utilities required for sample and data collection. [Rule 3D .2602(e)]
 - (c) **Test methods** – Testing shall be conducted in accordance with FCAQTC Section 3D .2600 except as may be otherwise required in FCAQTC Rules 3D .0524, 3D .0912, 3D .1110, 3D .1111, 3D .1415 or a permit condition specific to the emissions source. Requests to use an alternative test method or procedure must be made in writing at least 45 days prior to the test and approved by this Office. Alternatives to test methods or procedures specified for emissions sources subject to test requirements under 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61 or 40 CFR 63, may require approval by the U.S. EPA. [Rules 3D .2601, .2602(i) and 3Q .0308(a)(1)]
 - (d) **Process rate** – The owner or operator of the source shall ensure that the equipment or process being tested is operated at the production rate that best fulfills the purpose of the test. [Rule 3D .2602(g)]
 - (e) **Protocol** – The permittee shall arrange for air emission testing protocols to be provided to the Director prior to air pollution testing. Testing protocols are not required to be pre-approved prior to air pollution testing. Emission testing protocols must be submitted at least 45 days before conducting the test for pre-approval prior to testing if requested by the permittee. [Rule 3D .2602(c)]
 - (f) **Notification** – The permittee shall notify this Office at least 15 days before beginning the test so that a representative of this Office may be present to observe the test. [Rule 3D .2602(d)]

- (g) Emissions test report – The final air emission test report shall be submitted to this Office not later than 30 days after sample collection. The permittee may request an extension to submit the final test report if the extension request is a result of actions beyond the control of the permittee. Unless otherwise specified in the applicable permit or during the course of the protocol review, the results of the tests shall be expressed in the same units as the emission limits given in the rule for which compliance is being determined. [Rule 3D .2602(f) & (h)]

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rate described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable VOC emission rate and wet tobacco process rate on an hourly basis.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

4. **Cigarette Production Floor Fugitives (ES-18, ES-19, F-16, ES-23)** - The permittee shall limit the combined uncontrolled VOC emission rate from these emission sources to no more than 271.81 tons per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rate described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the combined uncontrolled VOC emission rate from these emission sources on a monthly and monthly rolling 12-month total basis.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

5. **ES-15 Casing Drums: Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (Part of ES-22)** - The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled VOC emission rate from the casings drums while manufacturing former Lorillard products to no more than 13.35 lb/hr and 3.7 tons per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rate described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable hourly averaged uncontrolled VOC emission rate.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

6. **ES-15 Flotation Chambers, Dryers, and Separators: Propylene Glycol-based Casing Materials (Part of ES-22)** - The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled VOC emission rate from the flotation chambers, dryers, and separators while manufacturing former Lorillard products to no more than 219.2 lb/hr and 97.5 tons per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rate described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable hourly averaged uncontrolled VOC emission rate.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

7. **ES-14 Casing Drums: Ethanol-based Casing Materials (Part of ES-23)** - The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled VOC emission rate from the casings drums while manufacturing former Lorillard products to no more than 1.2 lb VOC per ton wet tobacco.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rate described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable hourly averaged uncontrolled VOC emission rate and wet tobacco process rate.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

8. **F-13 Casing Preparation Area Mix Tanks and Day Tanks** - The permittee shall limit the uncontrolled VOC emission rate from the casing preparation area mix tanks and day tanks as described below:

Casing preparation area mix tanks (Part of ES-22): No more than 0.0054 tons propylene glycol per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Casing preparation area day tanks (Part of ES--22): No more than 0.0054 tons propylene glycol per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Casing preparation area mix tanks (Part of ES-23): No more than 0.0049 tons ethanol per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Casing preparation area day tanks (part of ES-23): No more than 0.0049 tons ethanol per monthly rolling 12-month total.

Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement - The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rates described above. At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate the applicable hourly averaged uncontrolled VOC emission rates.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

E. PM - Monitoring/Recordkeeping/Reporting for Sources Listed in Part II, Section 1.

1. **Monitoring for equipment controlled by fabric filters: ES-18-851-1, ES-19-851-1, ES-22-851-1** - Particulate matter emissions from emission sources designated in Part II, Section 1 shall be controlled during all periods of operation by the applicable fabric filters designated in Part II, Section 1. To ensure the optimum efficiency of the control devices, the permittee shall perform inspections and maintenance in a manner and frequency consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions. At a minimum, an annual internal inspection of the fabric filters' structural integrity and operation shall be performed.
2. **Monitoring for equipment controlled by wet scrubbers: ES-14-851-1 & ES-15-851-1** - Particulate matter emissions from emission sources designated in Part II, Section 1 shall be controlled during all periods of operation by the applicable wet scrubbers designated in Part II, Section 1. To ensure that optimum control efficiency is maintained, the permittee shall perform inspections and preventative maintenance in a manner consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions. The inspection and maintenance requirement must include the following:
 - (a) an annual visual internal inspection of the wet scrubbers' structural integrity and operation;

(b) the permittee shall maintain and operate low water pressure switches for each wet scrubber and an interlock system that shuts the process down during a low-flow condition.

3. Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.
4. If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

F. Particulate Emissions from Miscellaneous Industrial Processes for Sources Listed in Part II, Section 1 - [Rule 3D .0515]

Emissions for particulate matter from emission sources designated in Part II, Section 1 shall not exceed the allowable emission rate calculated with the equation $E = 4.10(P)^{0.67}$ calculated to three significant figures for process rates up to 30 tons/hr, or with the equation $E = 55.0(P)^{0.11} - 40$ calculated to three significant figures for process rates greater than 30 tons/hr; where E equals the maximum allowable PM emission rate in lb/hr, and P equals the process rate in tons/hr. Accordingly, the potential emission rate from this equipment shall at no time exceed the emission rates based on maximum production.

G. Sulfur Dioxide Emissions for Combustion Sources Listed in Part II, Section 1 - [Rule 3D .0516]

Emissions of sulfur dioxide from the thermal incinerator (CD-X7-851-1) designated in Part II, Section 1 shall not exceed 2.3 lb/MMBtu input. Monitoring and recordkeeping is not required to ensure compliance with this standard.

H. Visible Emissions for Sources Listed in Part II, Section 1 - [Rule 3D .0521(d)]

Visible emissions from emission sources designated in Part II, Section 1 shall not exceed 20% opacity when averaged over a six-minute period with the following exceptions:

1. No six-minute period exceeds 87% opacity;
2. No more than one six-minute period exceeds 20% opacity in any hour; and
3. No more than four six-minute periods exceed 20% opacity in any 24-hour period.

Monitoring and recordkeeping is not required to ensure compliance with this standard.

I. Work Practices for Sources of Volatile Organic Compounds Listed in Part II, Section 1 - [Rule 3D .0958]

This Rule applies to all facilities that use volatile organic compounds as solvents, carriers, material processing media, or industrial chemical reactants, or in other similar uses or that mix, blend, or manufacture volatile organic compounds, or emit volatile organic compounds as a product of chemical reactions. This Rule does not apply to architectural or maintenance coating, or sources subject to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJ.

1. **Work practice standards - [Rule 3D .0958(c) and 3Q .0508(aa)]** - For equipment listed in Part II, Section 1 the permittee shall:

- (a) store all material, including waste material, containing volatile organic compounds in containers covered with a tightly fitting lid that is free of cracks, holes, or other defects, when not in use,
 - (b) clean up spills as soon as possible following proper safety procedures,
 - (c) store wipe rags in closed containers,
 - (d) not clean sponges, fabric, wood, paper products, and other absorbent materials, unless volatile organic compound emissions are captured and controlled,
 - (e) drain solvents used to clean supply lines and other coating equipment into containers designed for closure, and close containers immediately after each use,
 - (f) clean mixing, blending, and manufacturing vats and containers by adding cleaning solvent, closing the vat or container before agitating the cleaning solvent. The spent cleaning solvent shall then be poured into a closed container.
2. **Monitoring/Recordkeeping requirements - [Rule 3Q .0508(f)]** - To ensure compliance with the work practice standards specified in Condition 3(l)(1) above, the permittee shall perform weekly inspections at each affected emissions source to verify compliance with the work practices and identify any deviations. The results of the inspections and any deviations shall be recorded in a log (written or electronic form), maintained on site and made readily available upon request by a representative of this Office. The log shall contain the following:
- (a) the date and time of each inspection;
 - (b) the results of each inspection; and
 - (c) all deviations from required work practice standards and the corrective actions taken.
3. **Alternative VOC work practice monitoring/recordkeeping requirements for sources of volatile organic compounds listed in Part II, Section 1 - [Rules 3D .0958(c) and 3Q .0508(aa)]** - The permittee may perform documented annual employee training as an alternative monitoring/recordkeeping compliance method for the work practice requirements specified in Condition 3(l)(1) above. To ensure compliance with this requirement the permittee shall:
- (a) train all personnel involved in operation of the above equipment, at least annually, in accordance with the reasons, procedures and importance of VOC work practice methods. All personnel shall be trained prior to being involved in the operation; and
 - (b) maintain records on site demonstrating that the annual training program is in place. These records shall be made available for inspection upon request by this Office and shall include, but not be limited to:
 - (i) an up-to-date list of personnel involved in operation of the above equipment and documentation of successful completion of both initial and annual training including dates of the training sessions; and,
 - (ii) an outline of the subjects covered in the initial and annual training for each group of personnel.

4. Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of five years after the date on which the record was made.
5. If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

J. Permit Requirements for Toxic Air Pollutants and Control of Toxic Air Pollutants [Section 3D .1100]

This section is locally enforceable only. All the emission sources designated in Part II, Section 1 and their associated air pollution control device(s) are subject to the following specific terms, conditions, and limitations, including the monitoring recordkeeping, and reporting requirements to which those requirements apply.

1. **Other and Future air toxic requirements** - Specification of a listed toxic air pollutant (TAP) in this permit does not excuse the permittee from complying with the requirements of Sections 3D .1100 and 3Q .0700 of the FCAQTC with regard to any other listed TAP emitted from the regulated facility, nor does this permit exempt the permittee from compliance with any future air toxic regulations promulgated pursuant to the requirements of the Clean Air Act. **[Sections 3D. 1100 and 3Q. 0700]**
2. **De minimis limits** - Total facility-wide emissions of the following pollutants shall not exceed their respective de minimis emissions limits as shown in Rule 3Q .0711 unless a modeling demonstration is first approved by this Office which shows that the emissions of the subject TAPs from the facility will not adversely affect human health. This demonstration shall be in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3D .1100 and 3Q. 0700 of the FCAQTC. This demonstration must be made with an up-to-date version of a U.S. EPA approved computer model or, upon approval by this Office, calculated using the results of a previous modeling analysis showing compliance with the acceptable ambient levels for the pollutants listed below. **[Section 3Q .0700]**

Pollutant (CAS Number)	De minimis level
acetaldehyde (75-07-0)	6.8 lb/hr
arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds	0.053 lb/yr
benzo(a)pyrene (50-32-8)	2.2 lb/yr
beryllium (7440-41-7)	0.28 lb/yr
cadmium (7440-43-9)	0.37 lb/yr
carbon disulfide (75-15-0)	3.9 lb/day
chloroform (67-66-3)	290 lb/yr
cresol (1319-77-3)	0.56 lb/hr
1,4-dioxane (123-91-1)	12 lb/day
n-hexane (110-54-3)	23 lb/day
manganese and compounds	0.63 lb/day
methyl ethyl ketone (78-93-3)	78 lb/day and 22.4 lb/hr
mercury, aryl and inorganic compounds	0.013 lb/day
nickel, soluble compounds, as nickel	0.013 lb/day
phenol (108-95-2)	0.24 lb/hr

toluene (108-88-3)	98 lb/day and 14.4 lb/hr
trichlorofluoromethane (75-69-4)	140 lb/hr
xylene (1330-20-7)	57 lb/day and 16.4 lb/hr

3. **Dispersion modeling emission limits** - Combined emissions of the following TAPs from all sources not exempted by 3Q .0702(a) and (b) at this facility shall not exceed the emission rates listed below. Dispersion modeling (using AERMOD - version 14134, performed in November 2014) and approved by this Office, demonstrated that the permitted emissions of the TAPs listed in the table below from this facility impacted the surrounding ambient air at levels below the acceptable ambient levels (AALs) specified in Rule 3D .1104 of the FCAQTC. The emission rates listed below shall be used as a basis for certifying that any future modifications or changes in the methods of operation will result in ambient impacts below these AALs. In no case shall actual emissions resulting from changes or modifications exceed any of the following emission rates without first applying for and receiving a permit. **[Section 3D .1100]**

Pollutant (CAS Number)	Maximum facility-wide emission rate	Model Version - Date
acetic acid (64-19-7)	490.24 lb/hr	AERMOD (version 14134) - November 2014
acrolein (107-02-8)	3.32 lb/hr	
ammonia (7664-41-7)	375.79 lb/hr	
benzene (71-43-2)	2,167.74 lb/yr	
ethylene oxide (75-21-8)	759.53 lb/yr	
formaldehyde (50-00-0)	29.73 lb/hr	
hydrogen chloride (7647-01-1)	246.41 lb/hr	

The emission limits for these pollutants supercede those specified in Part I, Section 4.

4. **Monitoring/recordkeeping/reporting requirement** -The permittee shall maintain updated records of production rates, throughputs, material usage, and other process operational information as is necessary to determine compliance with the emission rates specified in permit conditions 3(J)(2) and (3). At a minimum these records shall include data sufficient to calculate monthly averaged emission rates (in pounds per hour of emission source operation) for TAPs with 1-hour or 24-hour emission limits and yearly emission rates (in pounds per calendar year) for TAPs with annual emission limits.

Copies of these records shall be retained by the permittee for a period of two years after the date on which the record was made.

If requested by an agent of this Office, the permittee shall readily supply copies of these records at the time of inspection. Likewise, the permittee shall submit copies of the records upon request by this Office. **[Rules 3D .0605 and 3D .1105]**

SECTION 4 SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

The air emission source(s) and associated air pollution control device(s) listed in Part II, Section 1, Condition B are subject to the following specific terms, conditions, and limitations, including the monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements as specified herein:

- A. Any air emission sources or control devices authorized to construct in Part II, Section 1, Condition B must be constructed and maintained in accordance with the provisions contained herein. The permittee shall comply with applicable Forsyth County Air Quality Control Ordinance and Technical Code Regulations, including Subchapter 3D .0515.
- B. The permittee shall operate the air emission sources and control devices listed in Part II, Section 1, Condition B in accordance with provisions contained in Part I of this permit.
- C. ***Dust Collection Hood Pickup Point Addition Project (Building 851-1):*** *The addition of 24 dust collection hood pickup points to existing unmodified conveyors controlled by existing unmodified fabric filters vented to existing unmodified emission points.*

Initially permitted in permit #00745-TV-34.

ES-9-851-1: Processed Tobacco Conveying

Fabric Filter CD-71-851-1: Add 2 dust collection hood pickup points.

Fabric Filter CD-72-851-1: Add 2 dust collection hood pickup points.

Fabric Filter CD-73-851-1: Add 4 dust collection hood pickup points.

Fabric Filter CD-74-851-1: Add 4 dust collection hood pickup points.

ES-12-851-1: Tobacco Strip Conveying/Storage

Fabric Filter CD-69-851-1: Add 8 dust collection hood pickup points.

Fabric Filter CD-70-851-1: Add 4 dust collection hood pickup points.

1. **30-Day Notification From Start-up** - The permittee shall notify this Office of the actual start-up date of the completed project within 30 days after such date. This notification is to enable this Office to plan an inspection to verify compliance with any applicable standards. **[Rule 3A. 0103(a)]**
2. **Commencement of Construction** - If construction/modification of this equipment has not commenced by December 26, 2016 (18 months after the effective date of permit 00745-TV-34), or construction activities lapse for a period of 18 months after construction has commenced, the permittee shall reapply to this Office and obtain a permit to construct before commencing or resuming construction. **[Rule 3Q .0308(a)]**